

Kansas Register

Volume 44

Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

Rates

Pooled Money Investment Board

[Notice of Investment Rates June 9 – June 15, 2025](#)

Notices

City of Overland Park, Kansas

[Notice of Public Information Meeting for 175th Street, 179th Street to Antioch Road Project](#)

Kansas Department of Administration – Office of Accounts and Reports

[Notice of Petroleum Storage Tank Release Trust Fund Unobligated Balances for June 2025](#)

Kansas Department of Administration – Office of Facilities and Property Management

[Notice of Hearing on Alternate Delivery Method for the University of Kansas School and Architecture and Design Project](#)

Kansas Department of Administration – Office of Procurement and Contracts

[Notice to Bidders for State Purchase](#)

Kansas State Board of Regents Universities

[Notice to Bidders for University Purchase](#)

Wichita State University

[Notice of Intent to Lease Real Property at Innovation Campus, Wichita, Kansas](#)

Kansas Department of Health and Environment

[Notice of Application to Expand a Construction and Demolition Landfill in Washington County](#)

[Notice of Proposed Kansas Air Quality Class I Operating Permit for Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America - Great Bend Compressor Station 104](#)

[Notice of Proposed Kansas/Federal Water Pollution Control Permits and Applications](#)

Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services

Kansas Department of Health and Environment – Division of Health Care Finance

[Notice of Final Nursing Facility Medicaid Rates for State Fiscal Year 2026](#)

Kansas Department of Transportation

[Request for Proposals for Proposed Right of Way Writing Legal Descriptions Services](#)

[Request for Proposals for Senior Bridge Design Lead Embedded Engineer](#)

[Request for Proposals for NPIAS Airport Inspection Project Statewide](#)

[Request for Proposals for Non-NPIAS Airport Inspection Project Statewide](#)

[Request for Proposals for Construction Inspection Services for Project in Multiple Counties](#)

First Presbyterian Church of Topeka

[Request for Proposals for Exterior Door Replacement Project](#)

[Request for Proposals for Video Surveillance System Project](#)

Executive Branch

Office of the Governor

[State of Disaster Emergency Proclamation](#)

Legislative Branch

Legislative Administrative Services

[Interim Committee Schedule June 9 – June 27, 2025](#)

Kansas Register

Volume 44

Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

Bonds

Kansas Development Finance Authority

[Notice of Hearing on Proposed Agricultural Development Revenue Bonds for Ryan and Jennifer Bergstrom](#)

Regulations

Kansas Wildlife and Parks Commission

[Notice of Hearing on Proposed Administrative Regulations](#)

Kansas Department of Health and Environment

[Permanent Administrative Regulation](#)

[Index to administrative regulations](#)

State of Kansas

Pooled Money Investment Board

Notice of Investment Rates

The following rates are published in accordance with K.S.A. 75-4210. These rates and their uses are defined in K.S.A. 12-1675(b)(c)(d) and K.S.A. 12-1675a(g).

Effective 6-9-25 through 6-15-25

Term	Rate
1-89 days	4.33%
3 months	4.28%
6 months	4.21%
12 months	4.12%
18 months	4.02%
2 years	3.93%

Joel Oliver
Executive Director
Chief Investment Officer
Pooled Money Investment Board

Doc. No. 053226

(Published in the Kansas Register June 12, 2025.)

City of Overland Park, Kansas

Notice of Public Information Meeting

The City of Overland Park will be conducting an in-person, open house style Public Information Meeting regarding the 175th Street, 179th Street to Antioch Road project (TH-3026) from 5:30 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. Tuesday, July 1, 2025, at the Longhouse Visitor Center Community Room, 9209 W. 179th St., Bucyrus, KS 66013.

Please contact Jessica Tran, Senior Civil Engineer, at the City of Overland Park, at 913-895-6093, if you have any questions about the meeting. If you need special accommodations for the meeting, call 913-895-6155 (Kansas Relay Service 1-800-766-3777). Please give 48 hours' notice.

Si requiere asistencia en español, favor de llamar a Ed Reyes al 913-895-6047.

Peggy Gott
Contract Specialist
City of Overland Park, Kansas

Doc. No. 053236

State of Kansas

Department of Administration Office of Accounts and Reports

Public Notice

Under requirements of K.S.A. 65-34,117(c), as amended, records of the Office of Accounts and Reports show the unobligated balances are \$5,819,744.41 in the Underground Petroleum Storage Tank Release Trust Fund and \$4,779,934.13 in the Aboveground Petroleum Storage Tank Release Trust Fund at May 31, 2025.

Nancy Ruoff
Director
Office of Accounts and Reports
Department of Administration

Doc. No. 053227

State of Kansas

Department of Administration Office of Facilities and Property Management

Notice of Hearing

Pursuant to K.S.A. 75-37,143(d), the State Building Advisory Commission will conduct a public hearing at 9:00 a.m. July 9, 2025, in Suite 1200, 12th Floor, Eisenhower State Office Building, 700 SW Harrison St., Topeka, Kansas. Check in at the front desk with security is required.

The purpose of the hearing is for the public to have an opportunity to comment on a request from the University of Kansas (KU) to utilize an alternative project delivery method for the KUbe project for the School of Architecture and Design on the Lawrence campus.

The KU School of Architecture and Design provides internationally recognized design education in several disciplines including architecture, interior architecture, industrial and interaction design, visual communication, animation, and illustration. The school needs to consolidate its multiple facilities and add additional spaces to accommodate new programs and student growth. To address this need, the school embarked on a programming and conceptual design exercise arriving at a vision for the future of design at KU. Key concepts include an open, flexible environment encouraging interaction, collaboration, and community across all disciplines. At the center of development is envisioned an advanced high-performance building dubbed "The KUbe," that utilizes the best of sustainable/low carbon design practices. It uses advanced materials, services, systems, and structures, and serves as a showplace for the next generation emerging technologies and structural concepts like column free heavy timber diagrid structure and high-performance glazing systems. The KUbe should inspire students and invite greater campus interaction as an educational tool by expressing its tectonics. The KUbe will be physically connected to Marvin and Chalmers Halls. The realized project will embody the culture and qualities of the educational ideals and achievements of the school. The completed project will attract the best students and faculty from around the world.

Project Phasing

- Phase 1: The Kube and enclosed bridges to Marvin and Chalmers Halls (portion of the project this request is for).
- Phase 2: Reprogramming, renovations, and restoration of Marvin Hall (alternate delivery method not being requested at this time).
- Phase 3: Reprogramming and adaptive reuse of Chalmers Hall (alternate delivery method not being requested at this time).

The University of Kansas Lawrence requests permission to construct the KUbe for the School of Architecture and Design as phase 1 of the previously approved School of Architecture and Design addition and renovations.

Construction costs are estimated at \$42,700,000.

Barbara Schilling
Deputy Director – DCC
Office of Facilities and Property Management
Department of Administration

State of Kansas

Department of Administration Office of Procurement and Contracts

Notice to Bidders

Sealed bids for items listed will be received by the Office of Procurement and Contracts until 2:00 p.m. on the date indicated. For more information, call 785-296-2376.

All bids are to be submitted via email only to procurement@ks.gov. For more information, please visit https://supplier.sok.ks.gov/psc/sokfsprdsup/SUPPLIER/ERP/c/SCP_PUBLIC_MENU_FL.SCP_PUB_BID_CMP_FL.GBL.

06/19/2025	EVT0010361	Drawdown Tower Improvements – Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks
07/16/2025	EVT0010362	Printing and Mailing Services – Kansas Secretary of State
07/17/2025	EVT0010365	Crisis Communication Training – Kansas Bureau of Investigation
07/22/2025	EVT0010364	Cable TV and Internet Services – Kansas Neurological Institute

The above referenced bid documents can be downloaded at the following website:

https://supplier.sok.ks.gov/psc/sokfsprdsup/SUPPLIER/ERP/c/SCP_PUBLIC_MENU_FL.SCP_PUB_BID_CMP_FL.GBL

Additional files may be located at the following website (please monitor this website on a regular basis for any changes/addenda):

<https://admin.ks.gov/offices/procurement-contracts/bidding--contracts/additional-bid-opportunities>

06/26/2025	A-014962	Replace Asphalt, Concrete, and Parking Areas – Osawatomie State Hospital
------------	----------	--

Information regarding prequalification, projects, and bid documents can be obtained at 785-296-8899 or <http://admin.ks.gov/offices/ofpm/dcc>.

Todd Herman
Director
Office of Procurement and Contracts
Department of Administration

State of Kansas

Board of Regents Universities

Notice to Bidders

The universities of the Kansas Board of Regents encourage interested vendors to visit the various universities' purchasing offices' websites for a listing of all transactions, including construction projects, for which the universities' purchasing offices, or one of the consortia commonly utilized by the universities, are seeking information, competitive bids, or proposals. The referenced construction projects may include project delivery construction procurement act projects pursuant to K.S.A. 76-7,125 et seq.

Emporia State University – Bid postings: <https://www.emporia.edu/about-emporia-state-university/business-office/purchasing>. Additional contact info: phone: 620-341-5137, email: purchaseorders@emporia.edu. Mailing address: Emporia State University Purchasing, Campus Box 4021, 1 Kellogg Cir., Emporia, KS 66801.

Fort Hays State University – Electronic bid postings: <http://www.fhsu.edu/purchasing/bids>. Additional contact info: phone: 785- 628-4251, email: purchasing@fhsu.edu. Mailing address: Fort Hays State University Purchasing Office, 601 Park St., Sheridan Hall 318, Hays, KS 67601.

Kansas State University – Bid postings: <https://bidportal.ksu.edu>. Effective August 1, 2023, all bids, quotes, or proposals must be submitted via the Kansas State University Bid Portal at <https://bidportal.ksu.edu>. Division of Financial Services/Purchasing, 2323 Anderson Ave., Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506. Additional contact information, phone: 785-532- 6214, email: kspurch@k-state.edu.

Pittsburg State University – Bid postings: <https://www.pittstate.edu/office/purchasing>. Additional contact info: phone: 620-235-4167, email: purch@pittstate.edu. Mailing address: Pittsburg State University, Purchasing Office, 1701 S. Broadway, Pittsburg, KS 66762.

University of Kansas – Electronic bid postings: <http://www.procurement.ku.edu/>. The University of Kansas exclusively uses the online eBid tool and will no longer accept paper responses unless otherwise specified in a solicitation. Additional contact information, email: purchasing@ku.edu. Mailing address: University of Kansas, Procurement Department, 1246 W. Campus Road Room 20, Lawrence, KS 66045.

University of Kansas Medical Center – Electronic bid postings: <https://www.kumc.edu/finance/supply-chain/bid-opportunities.html>. Additional contact information, phone: 913-588-1117, email: hunkemoore@kumc.edu. The University of Kansas Medical Center accepts only electronic bids.

Wichita State University – Bid postings: https://www.wichita.edu/services/purchasing/Bid_Documents/BidDocuments.php. Additional contact information, phone: 316-978-3080, fax: 316-978-3738, email: purchasing.office@wichita.edu. Mailing address: Wichita State University, Office of Purchasing, 1845 Fairmount Ave., Campus Box 38, Wichita, KS 67260-0038.

Jim Hughes
Director of Purchasing
Pittsburg State University

State of Kansas

Wichita State University

Notice of Intent to Lease Real Property

Public notice is hereby given that Wichita State University (WSU), directly or through its affiliate corporation Wichita State Innovation Alliance, Inc., intends to lease, subject to all required state approvals, real property located on the Wichita State University's campus designated as the "Innovation Campus" for the private development and operation of a partnership building or buildings. There are three separate building sites available for private development. Proposals may be submitted for one or more of the sites. Each site is approximately one to two and a half acres in area, and will be customized based on the proposal for the respective site. Site #1 is located east of Woolsey Hall. Site #2 is located on the northwest corner of 17th and Innovation Boulevard. Site #3 is located on the northwest corner of 17th and Oliver. The university intends to lease each site for a mutually agreeable period, not to exceed sixty years, but extended terms and renewal options would be considered. Interested tenants must be willing to be a good fit with the university's educational mission and identify anticipated benefits to the university, its students, and the WSU community (i.e. applied learning, joint research, faculty start-up, WSU curriculum or program support, etc.), and must agree to the essential ground lease terms and restrictive covenants. Interested tenants will be evaluated on: proposal terms, demonstrated benefit to WSU, design concepts, financial stability, and proposed use. Interested tenants will be required to construct adjacent and adequate surface parking that may not be included in the leased ground. Rental rate shall be based on fair market value and negotiable based on term of lease, purpose/ use of building improvement, and benefit to the university. The university is interested in proposals from any individual, organization or entity, for the development of a building or buildings to provide rental space available for occupants who are committed to advancing the university's mission and vision as an education, cultural, and economic driver for Kansas and the greater public good, and aligning with the university's research and applied learning goals. The university will consider serious offers and inquiries with detailed proposal terms from any financially qualified individual, group, organization. If interested, please contact Property Manager Crystal Stegeman at crystal.stegeman@wichita.edu or Senior Vice President for Industry and Defense Programs, Dr. John Tomblin at john.tomblin@wichita.edu. This publication is being published pursuant to K.S.A. 75-430a(d), to the extent applicable.

Crystal Stegeman
University Property Manager
Office of the Vice President for Administration and Finance
Wichita State University

Doc. No. 053208

State of Kansas

Department of Health and Environment

Notice of Application

The Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE) received an application from Washington County to increase their construction and demolition landfill total disposal capacity. The landfill site is located at 1644 Sunflower Rd., Washington, KS 66968. The applicant proposes a disposal capacity increase from 95,100 cubic yards to 123,600 cubic yards.

The Washington County Commissioners have certified that the application described herein is consistent with their solid waste management plan and local land use restrictions or zoning. KDHE has reviewed the application and found it to be in conformance with the state solid waste statutes and regulations.

KDHE is providing public notice of their intent to issue a permit to Washington County to allow the update of their permit disposal capacity as described herein. However, a final decision to issue the permit has not been made, and KDHE will consider information gathered during the public comment period before making a final decision. A copy of the permit application, engineering drawings and draft permit with respect to this permit action will be available for public review at <http://www.kdhe.ks.gov/676/> from June 12, 2025 through July 12, 2025, during normal business hours at the following locations:

Kansas Department of Health and Environment
1000 SW Jackson, Suite 320
Topeka, KS 66612-1366
Contact: Kristofer Ho
785-296-2323

Washington Public Library
116 E. 2nd St.,
Washington, KS 66968-1916
Contact: Kathryn Stigge
785-325-2114

Anyone wishing to comment on the proposed facility should submit a written statement by 5:00 p.m. July 12, 2025, to Kristofer Ho of KDHE at the address listed above, or via email to Kristofer.Ho@ks.gov. Comments that are postmarked by July 12, 2025 and received within one week thereafter will also be considered.

La noticia anterior se refiere a la solicitud de permiso para el Condado de Washington en la 116 E. 2nd St., Washington, KS 67661. Si desea obtener más información en español o tiene otras preguntas, por favor, comuníquese con el Coordinador de No Discriminación de KDHE al 785-296-5156 o en: KDHE.NonDiscrimination@ks.gov.

After consideration of all formal comments as described above, KDHE will make a final decision on whether to update the permit. Notice of the decision will be given to the applicant, anyone who submitted formal comments, and those who requested notice of the final permit decision.

Janet Stanek
Secretary
Department of Health and Environment

State of Kansas

Department of Health and Environment

Notice of Proposed Kansas Air Quality Class I Operating Permit

Notice is hereby given that the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE) is soliciting comments regarding a proposed reopening of an air quality operating permit. A Class I Air Operating Permit was issued for the operation of Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America – Great Bend Compressor Station 104, located at 846 NW 40 Rd., Great Bend, Barton County, Ks 67530, owns and operates a compressor station. The effective date of the permit being reopened was February 5, 2024. K.A.R. 28-19-513(e)(2) states that only those parts of the permit for which cause to reopen exists shall be affected by the reopening.

The Class I operating permit issued to Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America – Great Bend Compressor Station 104 at 846 NW 40 Rd., Great Bend, KS 67530 is being reopened by KDHE for the purpose of correcting the reporting period from semi-annual to annual and updating the requirements of 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZ in the permit. This reopening is due in accordance with K.A.R. 28-19-513(e)(1)(C).

A copy of the proposed permit as modified, all supporting documentation and all information relied upon during the reopening process are available for public review during normal business hours at the KDHE, Bureau of Air (BOA), 1000 SW Jackson, Suite 310, Topeka, KS 66612-1366. Also, a copy of the proposed permit only can be reviewed at the Northwest District Office, 2301 E. 13th St., Hays, KS 67601-2651. To obtain or review the proposed reopening and supporting documentation, contact Laura Chavira Molina at the central office of KDHE at 785-296-1691, or Kyle Burgoon at the Northwest District Office at 785-261-6110. The standard departmental cost will be assessed for any copies requested. The proposed permit, accompanied with supporting information, is available, free of charge, at the KDHE BOA Public Notice website at <https://www.kdhe.ks.gov/413/Public-Notices>.

Please direct written comments or questions regarding the proposed permit to Laura Chavira Molina, KDHE, BOA, 1000 SW Jackson, Suite 310, Topeka, KS 66612-1366. In order to be considered in formulating a final permit decision, written comments must be received no later 12:00 p.m. Monday, July 14, 2025.

A person may request a public hearing be held on the proposed action. The request for a public hearing shall be in writing and set forth the basis for the request. The written request must be submitted to Laura Chavira Molina, KDHE, BOA, 1000 SW Jackson, Suite 310, Topeka, KS 66612-1366, no later than noon on Monday, July 14, 2025, in order for the Secretary of Health and Environment to consider the request.

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) has a 45-day review period, which will start concurrently with the public comment period, within which to object to the proposed permit. If the USEPA has not objected in writing to the issuance of the permit within the 45-day review period, any person may petition the administrator of the USEPA to review the permit. The 60-day public petition period will directly follow the USEPA 45-day review period. If the USEPA waives their 45-day review period, the 60-day public petition period will start directly after the 30-day public comment period.

Any such petition shall be based only on objections to the permit that were raised with reasonable specificity during the public comment period provided for in this notice, unless the petitioner demonstrates that it was impracticable to raise such objections within such period, or unless the grounds for such objection arose after such period. Contact Ward Burns, USEPA, Region 7, Air Permitting and Standards Branch, 11201 Renner Blvd., Lenexa, KS 66219, phone 913-551-7960, to determine when the 45-day USEPA review period ends and the 60-day petition period commences.

The preceding notice refers to the air permit for Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America – Great Bend Compressor Station 104 located at 846 NW 40 Rd., Great Bend, Barton County, KS 67530. If you want more information or you have other questions, please contact the KDHE Non-Discrimination coordinator and call

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

785-296-5156 or send an email to: KDHE.NonDiscrimination@ks.gov. [El aviso anterior se refiere al permiso de aire para Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America – Great Bend Compressor Station 104 ubicado en 846 NW 40 Rd., Great Bend, Barton County, KS 67530. Si desea obtener más información en español o tiene otras preguntas, por favor, comuníquese con el Coordinador de No Discriminación de KDHE y llame al 785-296-5156 o envíe un correo electrónico a: KDHE.NonDiscrimination@ks.gov.]

Janet Stanek
Secretary
Department of Health and Environment

Doc. No. 053231

State of Kansas

Department of Health and Environment

Notice of Proposed Kansas/Federal Water Pollution Control Permits and Applications

In accordance with Kansas Administrative Regulations 28-16-57a through 63, 28-18-1 through 17, 28-18a-1 through 31 and 33, 28-16-150 through 154, 28-46-7, and the authority vested with the state by the administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, various draft water pollution control documents (permits, notices to revoke and reissue, notices to terminate) have been prepared and/or permit applications have been received for discharges to waters of the United States and the state of Kansas for the class of discharges described below.

The proposed actions concerning the draft documents are based on staff review, applying the appropriate standards, regulations, and effluent limitations of the state of Kansas and the Environmental Protection Agency. The final action will result in a Federal National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Authorization and/or a Kansas Water Pollution Control permit being issued, subject to certain conditions, revocation, and reissuance of the designated permit or termination of the designated permit.

Las acciones propuestas con respecto a los documentos preliminares se basan en la revisión del personal, aplicando los estándares, regulaciones y limitaciones de efluentes apropiados del estado de Kansas y de la Agencia de Protección Ambiental de Estados Unidos. La acción final resultará en la emisión de una Autorización Federal del Sistema Nacional de Eliminación de Descargas de Contaminantes y un permiso de Control de Contaminación del Agua de Kansas, sujeto a ciertas condiciones, revocación y reemisión del permiso designado o terminación del permiso designado. Si desea obtener más información en español o tiene otras preguntas, por favor, comuníquese con el Coordinador de No Discriminación al 785-296-5156 o en: KDHE.NonDiscrimination@ks.gov.

Public Notice No. KS-AG-25-155/166

Pending Permits for Confined Feeding Facilities

Name and Address of Applicant	Legal Description	Receiving Water
Baalman Feedyard Coby Baalman 4998 S. Road 90 West Menlo, KS 67753	W/2 of Section 12 & NW/4 of Section 13 T09S, R30W Sheridan County	Solomon River Basin

Kansas Permit No. A-SOSD-C001
Federal Permit No. KS0055549

The proposed action is to reissue an existing NPDES permit for an existing facility for 9,980 head (9,980 animal units) of beef cattle weighing more than 700 pounds. There will be no change in the operation or permitted number of animal units from the previous permit. This facility has an approved Nutrient Management Plan on file with KDHE.

Name and Address of Applicant	Legal Description	Receiving Water
Farmers Nat. Co. Hudson Trust Jordan Olson/Brandon Milliron 16756 K-152 Hwy. La Cygne, KS 66040	SE/4 of Section 31 T19S, R24E Linn County	Marais des Cygnes River Basin

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

Kansas Permit No. A-MCLN-S008

The proposed action is to reissue an existing state permit for an existing facility for 88 head (35.2 animal units) of swine weighing more than 55 pounds and 700 head (70 animal units) of swine weighing 55 pounds or less, for a total of 788 head (105.2 animal units). There will be no change in the operation or permitted number of animal units from the previous permit. This facility has an approved Waste Management Plan on file with KDHE.

Name and Address of Applicant	Legal Description	Receiving Water
Hachmeister Cattle Co. Paul Hachmeister 3080 K-18 Hwy. Natoma, KS 67651	SE/4 of Section 12 T10S, R16W Rooks County	Saline River Basin

Kansas Permit No. A-SARO-C001
Federal Permit No. KS0115371

The proposed action is to reissue an existing NPDES permit for an existing facility 4,999 head (4,999 animal units) of cattle weighing more than 700 pounds. There will be no change in the operation or permitted number of animal units from the previous permit. This facility has an approved Waste Management Plan on file with KDHE.

Name and Address of Applicant	Legal Description	Receiving Water
High Plains Ranch, LLC – East 859 WW Rd. Copeland, KS 67837	SW/4 of Section 10 T28S, R31W Haskell County	Upper Arkansas River Basin

Kansas Permit No. A-UAHS-C012
Federal Permit No. KS0096971

The proposed action is to reissue an existing NPDES permit for an existing facility for 4,999 head (4,999 animal units) of cattle weighing more than 700 pounds. There will be no change in the operation or permitted number of animal units from the previous permit. This facility has an approved Nutrient Management Plan on file with KDHE.

Name and Address of Applicant	Legal Description	Receiving Water
Joe W. Strnad 2541 Granite Rd. Munden, KS 66959	NW/4 of Section 06 T02S, R01W Republic County	Big Blue River Basin

Kansas Permit No. A-BBRP-B007

The proposed action is to reissue an existing state permit for an existing facility for 900 head (900 animal units) of cattle weighing more than 700 pounds. There will be no change in the operation or permitted number of animal units from the previous permit. This facility has an approved Waste Management Plan on file with KDHE.

Name and Address of Applicant	Legal Description	Receiving Water
Old Trail Farms Jeff Busenitz 1331 60th Peabody, KS 66866	NW/4 of Section 07 T22S, R03E Marion County	Neosho River Basin

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

Kansas Permit No. A-NEMN-B022

The proposed action is to modify and reissue an existing state permit for an expanding facility for 999 head (999 animal units) of cattle weighing greater than 700 pounds. This permit is being modified to add an additional retention structure and pens. There is no change in the permitted number of animal units from the previous permit. This facility has an approved Waste Management Plan on file with KDHE.

Name and Address of Applicant	Legal Description	Receiving Water
Paul Merklein 982 W. Osage Rd. Prairie View, KS 67664	SW/4 of Section 07 T03S, R19W Phillips County	Solomon River Basin

Kansas Permit No. A-SOPL-B004

The proposed action is to reissue an existing state permit for an existing facility for 200 head (200 animal units) of cattle more than 700 pounds. There will be no change in the operation or permitted number of animal units from the previous permit. This facility has an approved Waste Management Plan on file with KDHE.

Name and Address of Applicant	Legal Description	Receiving Water
Triangle H, Inc. 2700 E. Parallel Rd. Garden City, KS 67846	N/2 of Section 05 T26S, R32W Finney County	Upper Arkansas River Basin

Kansas Permit No. A-UAFI-C025
Federal Permit No. KS0096016

The proposed action is to modify and reissue an existing State/NPDES permit for an expanding facility for 9,000 head (9,000 animal units) of cattle weighing more than 700 pounds. This represents an increase in the permitted animal units from the previous permit. This permit is also being modified to add 34 acres of open lot pens in the Northeast section and one (1) retention control structure. This facility has an approved Nutrient Management Plan on file with KDHE.

Name and Address of Applicant	Legal Description	Receiving Water
Whitham Farms Feedyard, LP 462 N. CR 5 Leoti, KS 67861	E/2 of Section 34 T17S, R38W Wichita County	Upper Arkansas River Basin

Kansas Permit No. A-UAWH-C001
Federal Permit No. KS0040631

The proposed action is to reissue an existing NPDES permit for an existing facility for 20,000 head (20,000 animal units) of cattle weighing more than 700 pounds. There will be no change in the operation or permitted number of animal units from the previous permit. This facility has an approved Nutrient Management Plan on file with KDHE.

Name and Address of Applicant	Legal Description	Receiving Water
Whitham Farms Feedyard, LP 462 N. CR 5 Leoti, KS 67861	SE/4 of Section 35 T17S, R38W Wichita County	Upper Arkansas River Basin

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

Kansas Permit No. A-UAWH-C002
Federal Permit No. KS0080276

The proposed action is to reissue an existing NPDES permit for an existing facility for 2,500 head (2,500 animal units) of cattle weighing more than 700 pounds. There will be no change in the operation or permitted number of animal units from the previous permit. This facility has an approved Nutrient Management Plan on file with KDHE.

Name and Address of Applicant	Legal Description	Receiving Water
Wiebe Land & Cattle, LLC Todd Wiebe 14166 NW Purity Springs Rd. Burns, KS 66840	SW/4 of Section 20 T23S, R05E Butler County	Walnut River Basin

Kansas Permit No. A-WABU-C015
Federal Permit No. KS0099040

The proposed action is to reissue an existing NPDES permit for an existing facility for 1,600 head (1,600 animal units) of cattle weighing more than 700 pounds. There will be no change in the operation or permitted number of animal units from the previous permit. This facility has an approved Nutrient Management Plan on file with KDHE.

Name and Address of Applicant	Legal Description	Receiving Water
Carpenter Cattle Company, Inc. Wayne Carpenter 2257 CR 2 Brewster, KS 67732	SW/4 of Section 08 & N/2 of Section 17 T07S, R36W Thomas County	Upper Republican River Basin

Kansas Permit No. A-URTH-C003
Federal Permit No. KS0086592

The proposed action is to approve an update to the Nutrient Management Plan (NMP) received for this existing facility currently permitted for 20,000 head (20,000 animal units) of cattle weighing greater than 700 pounds. The facility's NMP was updated to include the addition of six (6) land application fields and changes in the application rate limitation for eight (8) fields. The fields' application rate limitations have become less restrictive than the previous NMP. There are no changes in the permitted number of animal units. Only the updated portion of the Nutrient Management Plan is subject to comment. This facility has an approved Nutrient Management Plan on file with KDHE.

Public Notice No. KS-Q-25-112/116

The requirements of the draft permit public noticed below are pursuant to the Kansas Surface Water Quality Standards, K.A.R. 28-16-28(b-g), and Federal Surface Water Criteria.

Name and Address of Applicant	Receiving Stream	Type of Discharge
Spring Hill, City of 401 N Madison St Spring Hill, KS 66083		Treated Domestic

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

Permit No. M-MC45-NN01
Federal Permit No. KSR440100

Legal Description: Johnson and Miami County

The proposed action consists of reissuance of a Kansas/NPDES Water Pollution Control permit for an existing facility. The proposed action is to issue a new individual NPDES Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) permit from an existing MS4 general permit. The proposed MS4 permit requires the permittee to develop, implement, and enforce a stormwater management program design to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4 to the maximum extent practicable, to protect water quality, and to satisfy the appropriate water quality requirements of the Clean Water Act and Kansas surface water quality statutes and regulations. The proposed permit requires the permittee to update the Stormwater Management Program document, implement six minimum control measures and implement best management practices to reduce any identified total maximum daily load (TMDL) regulated pollutants if listed in the permit (TMDL Table). If there is an identified TMDL regulated pollutant, surface water monitoring may be required on the impaired water body to evaluate performance of the best management practices. Monitoring of industrial stormwater discharges and oversight of construction activities within the MS4 may be required if it is applicable. The proposed permit requires the submittal of an annual report that addresses all compliance requirements for the previous year. This permit contains generic language to protect water quality.

Name and Address of Applicant	Receiving Stream	Type of Discharge
Potwin, City of PO Box 265 Potwin, KS 67123	Whitewater River via Brush Creek via Unnamed Tributary	Treated Domestic

Permit No. M-WA12-OO01
Federal Permit No. KS0051713

Legal Description: SW¹/₄, SE¹/₄, SW¹/₄, Section 29, Township 24S, Range 4E, Butler County

Location: 37.92832, -97.01840

The proposed action consists of reissuance of a Kansas/NPDES Water Pollution Control permit for an existing facility. The existing facility is a two-cell wastewater stabilization lagoon system with a minimum of 150 days detention time. The facility receives domestic wastewater from residential and commercial areas. The detention time at the design flow is 310 days. This permit contains limits for Biochemical Oxygen Demand, Total Suspended Solids, and E. coli. The permit contains monitoring for pH, Ammonia, and Total Phosphorus.

Name and Address of Applicant	Receiving Stream	Type of Discharge
Shawnee Co Sewer District #2 – Indian Creek 1515 NW Saline, Suite 200 Topeka, KS 66618	Indian Creek via Unnamed Tributary	Treated Domestic

Permit No. M-KS72-OO24
Federal Permit No. KS0116556

Legal Description: NW¹/₄, SE¹/₄, NW¹/₄, Section 33, Township 10S, Range 16E, Shawnee County

Location: 39.13914, -95.63921

The proposed action consists of reissuance of a Kansas/NPDES Water Pollution Control permit for an existing facility. The existing facility is a three-cell wastewater stabilization lagoon system with a minimum of 120 days detention time. The facility receives domestic wastewater from residential areas. The total detention time at design flow is 146 days. This permit contains limits for Biochemical Oxygen Demand, Total Suspended Solids, Ammonia, and E. coli. The permit contains monitoring for pH, and Total Phosphorus. This NPDES discharging lagoon wastewater treatment facility has been reviewed for eligibility for the MDV for ammonia and has been determined to be eligible. Eligibility was determined through analysis of the facility's highest attainable criteria (HAC) for ammonia. The ammonia effluent limit was determined on April 2, 2025 by calculating the 99th percentile ammonia value from the facility's discharge monitoring reports resulting in an ammonia limit of 15.1 mg/L for this facility. However, due to anti-backsliding, the previous permit ammonia limit of 13.5 will be applied.

Name and Address of Applicant	Receiving Stream	Type of Discharge
--------------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------

Westmoreland, City of
PO Box 7
Westmoreland, KS 66549

East Fork Rock Creek

Treated Domestic

Permit No. M-KS75-OO01
Federal Permit No. KS0046485

Legal Description: SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$, Section 4, Township 8S, Range 9E, Pottawatomie County

Location: 39.38195, -96.41163

The proposed action consists of reissuance of a Kansas/NPDES Water Pollution Control permit for an existing facility. The existing facility is a three-cell wastewater stabilization lagoon system with a minimum of 120 days detention time. The facility receives domestic wastewater from residential and commercial areas. This permit contains limits for Biochemical Oxygen Demand, Total Suspended Solids, Ammonia, and E. coli. The permit contains monitoring for pH. This NPDES discharging lagoon wastewater treatment facility has been reviewed for eligibility for the MDV for ammonia and has been determined to be eligible. Eligibility was determined through analysis of the facility's highest attainable criteria (HAC) for ammonia and an Economic Eligibility Determination (EED) that assessed the impact of the cost of a new mechanical facility to the community's rate payers. The ammonia effluent limit was determined on February 26, 2025 by calculating the 99th percentile ammonia value from the facility's discharge monitoring reports resulting in an ammonia limit of 13.9 mg/L for this facility.

Name and Address of Applicant	Receiving Stream	Type of Discharge
--------------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------

Whitaker Aggregates Inc-Welda
Quarry
555 Delaware
Humboldt, KS 66748

Marais des Cygnes River
via Cygnes River
via Pottawatomie Creek
via Cedar Creek
via Unnamed Tributary

Process Wastewater

Permit No. I-MC53-PO01
Federal Permit No. KS0116025

Legal Description: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 32, Township 21S, Range 19E, Anderson County

Location: 38.17540, -95.33601

The proposed action consists of reissuing the above referenced NPDES permit. No significant changes were made to the permit. This is a limestone quarrying and crushing operation which may wash rock. The wash-water generated on-site and stormwater runoff is treated by a settling pond, before discharging from Outfall 001A. Pit water and stormwater runoff may also to Outfall 001A. This permit contains limits for Total Suspended Solids.

Public Notice No. KS-EG-25-008

In accordance with K.A.R. 28-46-7 and the authority vested with the state by the administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, draft permit have been prepared for the use of the well(s) described below within the State of Kansas.

Name and Address of Applicant

Morton Salt, Inc.
123 Wacker Dr.
Chicago, IL 60606

Permit No. KS-01-155-004

Location: 38.37142, -98.07216

The Morton Salt, Inc. in Hutchinson, Kansas is a solution salt mining operation. UIC Class I Disposal Well Morton WWDW #D-1 (KS-01-155-004) is authorized to inject non-hazardous liquid waste from this facility consisting of near saturated mineralized waste brines and plant process wastewaters. The Morton Salt MCFS Mitchell WWDW #1 shall use a maximum injection rate of 5,714 barrels per day (bpd) (239,988 gallons per day (gpd)).

Persons wishing to comment on or object to the draft documents and/or permit applications must submit their comments in writing to the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE) if they wish to have the comments or objections considered in the decision-making process. All written comments regarding the draft documents, application or registration notices received on or before July 12, 2025, will be considered in the formulation of the final determination regarding this public notice. Please refer to the appropriate Kansas document number (KS-AG-25-155/166, KS-Q-25-112/116, KS-EG-25-008) and name of the applicant/permittee when preparing comments.

All comments received will be responded to at the time the Secretary of Health and Environment issues a determination regarding final agency action on each draft document/application. If response to any draft document/application indicates significant public interest, a public hearing may be held in conformance with K.A.R. 28-16-61 (28-46-21 for UIC). A request for public hearing must be submitted in writing and shall state the nature of the issues proposed to be raised during the hearing.

Comments or objections for agricultural related draft documents, permit applications, registrations or actions should be submitted to the attention of Casey Guccione, Livestock Waste Management Section at the KDHE, Bureau of Environmental Field Services (BEFS), 1000 SW Jackson, Suite 430, Topeka, KS 66612. Comments or objections for all other proposed permits or actions should be sent to Andrew Bowman at the KDHE, Bureau of Water, 1000 SW Jackson St., Suite 420, Topeka, KS 66612.

All draft documents/applications and the supporting information including any comments received are on file and may be inspected at the offices of the KDHE. For agricultural related draft documents or applications an appointment can be scheduled, or copies requested by contacting Jada Martin at 1000 SW Jackson St., Suite 430, Topeka, KS 66612, telephone 785-296-0076 or email at kdhe.feedlots@ks.gov. Las preguntas o comentarios por escrito deben dirigirse a Erich Glave, Director, Bureau of Environmental Field Services en KDHE: 1000 SW

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

Jackson St., Suite 430, Topeka, Kansas 66612-1367; por correo electrónico: kdhe.feedlots@ks.gov; por teléfono: 785-296-6432. For all other proposed permits or actions an appointment can be scheduled, or copies requested by contacting Jamie Packard, Bureau of Water, 1000 SW Jackson St., Suite 420, Topeka, KS 66612, telephone 785-296-4148 or email at Jamie.Packard@ks.gov. These documents are available upon request at the copying cost assessed by KDHE. Application information and components of plans and specifications for all new and expanding swine facilities are available on the Internet at <http://www.kdhe.ks.gov/livestock>. The Division of Environment offices are open from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding holidays.

Janet Stanek
Secretary
Department of Health and Environment

Doc. No. 053232

State of Kansas

Department for Aging and Disability Services

Department of Health and Environment

Division of Health Care Finance

Notice of Final Nursing Facility Medicaid Rates for State Fiscal Year 2026; Methodology for Calculating Rates, and Rate Justifications; Response to Written Comments; Notice of Intent to Amend the Medicaid State Plan

Under the Medicaid program, 42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq., the State of Kansas pays nursing facilities, nursing facilities for mental health, and hospital long-term care units (hereafter collectively referred to as nursing facilities) a daily rate for care provided to residents who are eligible for Medicaid benefits. The Secretary of Aging and Disability Services administers the nursing facility program, which includes hospital long-term care units, and the nursing facility for mental health program. The Secretary acts on behalf of the Kansas Department of Health and Environment Division of Health Care Finance (DHCF), the single state Medicaid agency.

As required by 42 U.S.C. 1396a(a)(13), as amended by Section 4711 of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997, P.L. No. 105-33, 101 Stat. 251, 507-08 (August 5, 1997), the Secretary of the Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services (KDADS) is publishing the revised final Medicaid per diem rates for Medicaid-certified nursing facilities for State Fiscal Year 2026, the methodology underlying the establishment of the nursing facility rates, and the justifications for those rates. KDADS and DHCF are also providing notice of the state's intent to submit amendments to the Medicaid State Plan to the U. S. Department of Health and Human Services' Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) on or before September 30, 2025.

I. Methodology Used to Calculate Medicaid Per Diem Rates for Nursing Facilities.

In general, the state uses a prospective, cost-based, facility-specific rate-setting methodology to calculate nursing facility Medicaid per diem rates, including the rates listed in this notice. The state's rate-setting methodology is contained primarily in the following described documents and authorities and in the exhibits, attachments, regulations, or other authorities referenced in them:

- A. The following portions of the Kansas Medicaid State Plan maintained by DHCF are being revised:
 1. Attachment 4.19D, Part I, Subpart C, Exhibit C-1, inclusive;
 2. Medicaid Add-On

The text of the portions of the Medicaid State Plan identified above in section IA.1, but not the documents, authorities and the materials incorporated therein by reference, is reprinted in this notice. The Medicaid State Plan provisions set out in this notice appears in the version which the state currently intends to submit to CMS on or before September 30, 2025. The Medicaid State Plan amendment that the state ultimately submits to CMS may differ from the version contained in this notice.

Copies of the documents and authorities containing the state's rate-setting methodology are available upon written request. A request for copies will be treated as a request for public records under the Kansas Open Records Act, K.S.A. 45-215 et seq. The state may charge a fee for copies, in accordance with Executive Order 18-05. Written requests for copies should be sent to:

Secretary of Aging and Disability Services
New England Building, Second Floor
503 S. Kansas Ave.
Topeka, KS 66603-3404

Fax: 785-296-0767

A.1 Attachment 4.19D, Part I, Subpart C, Exhibit C-1: Methods and Standards for Establishing Payment Rates for Nursing Facilities

Under the Medicaid program, the State of Kansas pays nursing facilities (NF), nursing facilities for mental health (NFMH), and hospital long-term care units (hereafter collectively referred to as nursing facilities) a daily rate for care provided to residents who are eligible for Medicaid benefits. The narrative explanation of the nursing facility reimbursement formula is divided into 11 sections. The sections are: Cost Reports, Rate Determination, Quarterly Case Mix Index Calculation, Resident Days, Inflation Factors, Upper Payment Limits, Quarterly Case Mix Rate Adjustment, Real and Personal Property Fee, Incentive Factors, Rate Effective Date, and Retroactive Rate Adjustments.

1. Cost Reports

The Nursing Facility Financial and Statistical Report (MS2004) is the uniform cost report. It is included in Kansas Administrative Regulation (K.A.R.) 129-10-17. It organizes the commonly incurred business expenses of providers into three reimbursable cost centers (operating, indirect health care, and direct health care). Ownership costs (i.e., mortgage interest, depreciation, lease, and amortization of leasehold improvements) are reported but reimbursed through the real and personal property fee. There is a non-reimbursable/non-resident related cost center so that total operating expenses can be reconciled to the providers' accounting records.

All cost reports are desk reviewed by agency auditors. Adjustments are made, when necessary, to the reported costs in arriving at the allowable historic costs for the rate computations.

Calendar Year End Cost Reports

All providers that have operated a facility for 12 or more months on December 31 shall file a calendar year cost report. The requirements for filing the calendar year cost report are found in K.A.R. 129-10-17.

When a non-arms length or related party change of provider takes place or an owner of the real estate assumes the operations from a lessee, the facility will be treated as an ongoing operation. In this situation, the related provider or owner shall be required to file the calendar year end cost report. The new operator or owner is responsible for obtaining the cost report information from the prior operator for the months during the calendar year in which the new operator was not involved in running the facility. The cost report information from the old and new operators shall be combined to prepare a 12-month calendar year end cost report.

Projected Cost Reports

The filing of projected cost reports are limited to: 1) newly constructed facilities; 2) existing facilities new to the Medicaid program; or 3) a provider re-entering the Medicaid program that has not actively participated or billed services for 24 months or more. The requirements are found in K.A.R. 129-10-17.

2. Rate Determination

Rates for Existing Nursing Facilities

Medicaid rates for Kansas NFs are determined using a prospective, facility-specific rate-setting system. The rate is determined from the base cost data submitted by the provider. The current base cost data is the combined calendar year cost data from each available report submitted by the current provider during 2022, 2023, and 2024.

If the current provider has not submitted a calendar year report during the base cost data period, the cost data submitted by the previous provider for that same period will be used as the base cost data. Once the provider completes their first 24 months in the program, their first calendar year cost report will become the provider's base cost data.

The allowable expenses are divided into three cost centers. The cost centers are Operating, Indirect Health Care and Direct Health Care. They are defined in K.A.R. 129-10-18.

The allowable historic per diem cost is determined by dividing the allowable resident related expenses in each cost center by resident days. Before determining the per diem cost, each year's cost data is adjusted from the midpoint of that year to December 31, 2025. The resident days and inflation factors used in the rate determination will be explained in greater detail in the following sections.

The inflated allowable historic per diem cost for each cost center is then compared to the cost center upper payment limit. The allowable per diem rate is the lesser of the inflated allowable historic per diem cost in each cost center or the cost center upper payment limit. Each cost center has a separate upper payment limit. If each cost center upper payment limit is exceeded, the allowable per diem rate is the sum of the three cost center upper payment limits. There is also a separate upper payment limit for owner, related party, administrator, and co-administrator compensation. The upper payment limits will be explained in more detail in a separate section.

The case mix of the residents adjusts the Direct Health Care cost center. The reasoning behind a case mix payment system is that the characteristics of the residents in a facility should be considered in determining the payment rate. The idea is that certain resident characteristics can be used to predict future costs to care for residents with those same characteristics. For these reasons, it is desirable to use the case mix classification for each facility in adjusting provider rates.

There are add-ons to the allowable per diem rate. The add-ons consist of the incentive factor, the real and personal property fee, and per diems to cover costs not included in the cost report data. The incentive factor and real and personal property fee are explained in separate sections of this exhibit. The rate components are explained in separate subparts of Attachment 4.19D of the State Plan. The add-ons plus the allowable per diem rate equal the total per diem rate.

Rates for New Construction and New Facilities (New Enrollment Status)

The per diem rate for newly constructed nursing facilities, or new facilities to the Kansas Medical Assistance program shall be based on a projected cost report submitted in accordance with K.A.R. 129-10-17.

The cost information from the projected cost report and the first historic cost report covering the projected cost report period shall be adjusted to December 31, 2025. This adjustment will be based on the S&P Global Insight, National Skilled Nursing Facility Market Basket Without Capital Index (S&P Index). The S&P indices listed in the latest available quarterly publication will be used to adjust the reported cost data from the midpoint of the cost report period to December 31, 2025. The provider shall remain in new enrollment status until the base data period is reestablished. During this time, the adjusted cost data shall be used to determine all rates for the provider. Any additional factor for inflation that is applied to cost data for established providers shall be applied to the adjusted cost data for each provider in new enrollment status.

Rates for Facilities Recognized as a Change of Provider (Change of Provider Status)

The payment rate for the first 24 months of operation shall be based on the base cost data of the previous owner or provider. This base cost data shall include data from each calendar year cost report that was filed by the previous provider from 2022-2024. If base cost data is not available, the most recent calendar year data for the previous provider shall be used. Beginning with the first day of the 25th month of operation the payment rate shall be based on the historical cost data for the first calendar year submitted by the new provider.

All data used to set rates for facilities recognized as a change-of-provider shall be adjusted to December 31, 2025. This adjustment will be based on the S&P Index. The S&P indices listed in the latest available quarterly publication will be used to adjust the reported cost data from the midpoint of the cost report period to December 31, 2025. The provider shall remain in change-of-provider status until the base data period is reestablished. During this time, the adjusted cost data shall be used to determine all rates for the provider. Any additional factor for inflation that is applied to cost data for established providers shall be applied to the adjusted cost data for each provider in change of provider status.

Rates for Facilities Re-entering the Program (Reenrollment Status)

The per diem rate for each provider reentering the Medicaid program shall be determined from a projected cost report if the provider has not actively participated in the program by the submission of any current resident service billings to the program for 24 months or more. The per diem rate for all other providers reentering the program shall be determined from the base cost data filed with the agency or the most recent cost report filed preceding the base cost data period.

All cost data used to set rates for facilities reentering the program shall be adjusted to December 31, 2025. This adjustment will be based on the S&P Global Index. The S&P Global indices listed in the latest available quarterly publication will be used to adjust the reported cost data from the midpoint of the cost report period to December 31, 2025. The provider shall remain in reenrollment status until the base data period is reestablished. During this time, the adjusted cost data shall be used to determine all rates for the provider. Any additional factor for inflation that is applied to cost data for established providers shall be applied to the adjusted cost data for each provider in reenrollment status.

3. Quarterly Case Mix Index Calculation

Providers are required to submit to the agency the uniform assessment instrument, which is the Minimum Data Set (MDS), for each resident in the facility. The MDS assessments are maintained in a computer database.

Effective July 1, 2025, the Patient Driven Payment Model (PDPM) Nursing component classification is used as the resident classification system to determine all case- mix indices, using data from the MDS submitted by each facility. The 25 PDPM case mix groups (CMG) and corresponding case mix indices (CMI) (developed by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) and implemented as of October 1, 2019) are used to determine facility average CMIs and to adjust the Direct Health Care costs in the determination of upper payment limits and rate calculation. Resident assessments that cannot be classified will be assigned the lowest CMI for the State.

Each resident in the facility on the first day of each calendar quarter with a completed and submitted assessment shall be assigned a PDPM nursing CMG calculated on the resident's most current assessment available on the first day of each calendar quarter. This PDPM nursing CMG shall be translated to the corresponding CMI based on the PDPM weights effective October 1, 2019. From the individual resident case mix indices, average case mix indices for all residents and for each payment source type (Medicaid, Medicare and Other) are calculated for each Medicaid nursing facility four times per year based on the assessment information available on the first day of each calendar quarter.

The facility-wide average CMI is the simple average, carried to four decimal places, of all resident case mix indices. The Medicaid-average CMI is the simple average, carried to four decimal places, of all indices for residents, including those receiving hospice services, where Medicaid is known to be a per diem payer source on the first day of the calendar quarter or at any time during the preceding quarter. The private-pay/other average CMI is the simple average, carried to four decimal places, of all indices for residents where neither Medicaid nor Medicare were known to be the payer source on the first day of the calendar quarter or at any time during the preceding quarter. Case mix indices for ventilator-dependent residents for whom additional reimbursement has been determined shall be excluded from the average CMI calculations.

Rates will be adjusted for case mix twice annually using case mix data from the two quarters preceding the rate effective date. The case mix averages used for the rate adjustments will be the simple average of the case mix averages for each quarter. The resident listing cut-off for calculating the average CMIs for each quarter will be the first day of the quarter. The following are the dates for the resident listings and the rate periods in which the average Medicaid CMIs will be used in the semi-annual rate-setting process.

Rate Effective Date	Cut-Off Dates for Quarterly CMI
July 1	January 1 and April 1
January 1	July 1 and October 1

The resident listings will be distributed to providers prior to the dates the semi-annual case mix adjusted rates are determined. This will allow the providers time to review the resident listings and make corrections before they are notified of new rates. The cut off schedule may need to be modified in the event accurate resident listings and Medicaid CMI scores cannot be obtained from the MDS database.

4. Resident Days

Facilities with 60 beds or less

For facilities with 60 beds or less, the allowable historic per diem costs for all cost centers are determined by dividing the allowable resident related expenses by the actual resident days during the cost report period(s) used to establish the base cost data.

Facilities with more than 60 beds

For facilities with more than 60 beds, the allowable historic per diem costs for the Direct Health Care cost center and for food and utilities in the Indirect Health Care cost center are determined by dividing the allowable resident related expenses by the actual resident days during the cost report period(s) used to establish the base cost data. The allowable historic per diem cost for the Operating and Indirect Health Care Cost Centers less food and utilities is subject to an 85% minimum occupancy rule. For these providers, the greater of the actual resident days for the cost report period(s) used to establish the base cost data or the 85% minimum occupancy based on the number of licensed bed days during the cost report period(s) used to establish the base cost data is used as the total resident days in the rate calculation for the Operating cost center and the Indirect Health Care cost center less food and utilities. All licensed beds are required to be certified to participate in the Medicaid program.

There are two exceptions to the 85% minimum occupancy rule for facilities with more than 60 beds. The first is that it does not apply to a provider who is allowed to file a projected cost report for an interim rate. Both the rates determined from the projected cost report and the historic cost report covering the projected cost report period are based on the actual resident days for the period.

The second exception is for the first cost report filed by a new provider who assumes the rate of the previous provider. If the 85% minimum occupancy rule was applied to the previous provider's rate, it is also applied when the rate is assigned to the new provider. However, when the new provider files a historic cost report for any part of the first 12 months of operation, the rate determined from the cost report will be based on actual days and not be subject to the 85% minimum occupancy rule for the months in the first year of operation. The 85% minimum occupancy rule is then reapplied to the rate when the new provider reports resident days and costs for the 13th month of operation and after.

5. Inflation Factors

Inflation will be applied to the allowable reported costs from the calendar year cost report(s) used to determine the base cost data from the midpoint of each cost report period to December 31, 2025. The inflation will be based on the S&P Global, CMS Nursing Home without Capital Market Basket index.

The S&P Global, CMS Nursing Home without Capital Market Basket Indices listed in the latest available quarterly publication will be used to determine the inflation tables for the payment schedules processed during the payment rate period. This may require the use of forecasted factors in the inflation table. The inflation tables will not be revised until the next payment rate period.

The inflation factor will not be applied to the following costs:

1. Owner/Related Party Compensation
2. Interest Expense
3. Real and Personal Property Taxes

6. Upper Payment Limits

There are three types of upper payment limits that will be described. One is the owner/related party/administrator/co-administrator limit. The second is the real and personal property fee limit. The last type of limit is an upper payment limit for each cost center. The upper payment limits are in effect during the payment rate period unless otherwise specified by a State Plan amendment.

Owner/Related Party/Administrator/Co-Administrator Limits

Since salaries and other compensation of owners are not subject to the usual market constraints, specific limits are placed on the amounts reported. First, amounts paid to non-working owners and directors are not an allowable cost. Second, owners and related parties who perform resident related services are limited to a salary chart based on the Kansas Civil Service classifications and wages for comparable positions. Owners and related parties who provide resident related services on less than a full time basis have their compensation limited by the percent of their total work time to a standard work week. A standard work week is defined as 40 hours. The owners and related parties must be professionally qualified to perform services which require licensure or certification.

The compensation paid to owners and related parties shall be allocated to the appropriate cost center for the type of service performed. Each cost center has an expense line for owner/related party compensation. There is also a cost report schedule titled, "Statement of Owners and Related Parties." This schedule requires information concerning the percent of ownership (if over five percent), the time spent in the function, the compensation, and a description of the work performed for each owner and/or related party. Any salaries reported in excess of the Kansas Civil Service based salary chart are transferred to the Operating cost center where the excess is subject to the Owner/Related Party/Administrator/Co-Administrator per diem compensation limit.

Schedule C is an array of non-owner administrator and co-administrator salaries. The schedule includes the calendar year 2024 historic cost reports in the database from all active nursing facility providers. The salary information in the array is not adjusted for inflation. The per diem data is calculated using an 85% minimum occupancy level for those providers in operation for more than 12 months with more than 60 beds. Schedule C for the owner/related party/administrator/co-administrator per diem compensation limit is the first schedule run during the rate setting.

Schedule C is used to set the per diem limitation for all non-owner administrator and co-administrator salaries and owner/related party compensation in excess of the civil service based salary limitation schedule. The per diem limit for a 50-bed or larger home is set at the 90th percentile on all salaries reported for non-owner administrators and co-administrators. A limitation table is then established for facilities with less than 50 beds. This table begins with a reasonable salary per diem for an administrator of a 15-bed or less facility. The per diem limit for a 15-bed or less facility is inflated based on the State of Kansas annual cost of living allowance for classified employees for the rate period. A linear relationship is then established between the compensation of the administrator of the 15-bed facility and the compensation of the administrator of a 50-bed facility. The linear relationship determines the per diem limit for the facilities between 15 and 50 beds.

The per diem limits apply to the non-owner administrators and co-administrators and the compensation paid to owners and related parties who perform an administrative function or consultant type of service. The per diem limit also applies to the salaries in excess of the civil service-based salary chart in other cost centers that are transferred to the operating cost center.

Real and Personal Property Fee Limit

The property component of the reimbursement methodology consists of the real and personal property fee that is explained in more detail in a later section. The upper payment limit is 105% of the median determined from a total resident day-weighted array of the property fees in effect April 1, 2025.

Cost Center Upper Payment Limits

Schedule B is an array of all per diem costs for each of the three cost centers-Operating, Indirect Health Care, and Direct Health Care. The schedule includes a per diem determined from the base cost data from all active nursing facility providers. Projected cost reports are excluded when calculating the limit.

The per diem expenses for the Operating cost center and the Indirect Health Care cost center less food and utilities are subject to the 85% minimum occupancy for facilities over 60 beds. All previous desk review and field audit adjustments are considered in the per diem expense calculations. The costs are adjusted by the owner/related party/administrator/co-administrator limit.

Prior to the Schedule B arrays, the cost data on certain expense lines is adjusted from the midpoint of the cost report period to December 31, 2025. This will bring the costs reported by the providers to a common point in time for comparisons. The inflation will be based on the S&P Global, CMS Nursing Home Without Capital Market Basket Index.

Certain costs are exempt from the inflation application when setting the upper payment limits. They include owner/related party compensation, interest expense, and real and personal property taxes.

Schedule B is the median compilations. These compilations are needed for setting the upper payment limit for each cost center. The median for each cost center is weighted based on total resident days. The upper payment limits will be set using the following:

Operating	110% of the median
Indirect Health Care	115% of the median
Direct Health Care	130% of the median

Direct Health Care Cost Center Limit

The Kansas reimbursement methodology has a component for a case mix payment adjustment. The Direct Health Care cost center rate component and upper payment limit are adjusted by the facility average CMI.

For the purpose of setting the upper payment limit in the Direct Health Care cost center, the facility cost report period CMI and the statewide average CMI will be calculated. The facility cost report period CMI is the resident day-weighted average of the quarterly facility-wide average case mix indices, carried to four decimal places. The quarters used in this average will be the quarters that most closely coincide with the financial and statistical reporting period. For example, a 01/01/20XX-12/31/20XX financial and statistical reporting period would use the facility-wide average case mix indices for quarters beginning 04/01/XX, 07/01/XX, 10/01/XX and 01/01/XY. The statewide average CMI is the resident day-weighted average, carried to four decimal places, of the facility cost report period case mix indices for all Medicaid facilities.

The statewide average CMI and facility cost report period CMI are used to set the upper payment limit for the Direct Health Care cost center. The limit is based on all facilities with a historic cost report in the database. There are three steps in establishing the base upper payment limit.

The first step is to normalize each facility's inflated Direct Health Care costs to the statewide average CMI. This is done by dividing the statewide average CMI for the cost report year by the facility's cost report period CMI, then multiplying this answer by the facility's inflated costs. This step is repeated for each cost report year for which data is included in the base cost data.

The second step is to determine per diem costs and array them to determine the median. The per diem cost is determined by dividing the total of each provider's inflated case mix adjusted base direct health care costs by the total days provided during the base cost data period. The median is located using a day-weighted methodology. That is, the median cost is the per diem cost for the facility in the array at which point the cumulative total of all resident days first equals or exceeds half the number of the total resident days for all providers. The facility with the median resident day in the array sets the median inflated direct health care cost. For example, if there are eight million resident days, the facility in the array with the 4 millionth day would set the median.

The final step in calculating the base Direct Health Care upper payment limit is to apply the percentage factor to the median cost. For example, if the median cost is \$150 and the upper payment limit is based on 130% of the median, then the upper payment limit for the statewide average CMI would be \$195 ($D=130\% \times \150).

7. Quarterly Case Mix Rate Adjustment

The allowance for the Direct Health Care cost component will be based on the average Medicaid CMI in the facility. The first step in calculating the allowance is to determine the Allowable Direct Health Care Per Diem Cost. This is the lesser of the facility's per diem cost from the base cost data period or the Direct Health Care upper payment limit. Because the direct health care costs were previously adjusted for the statewide average CMI, the Allowable Direct Health Care Per Diem Cost corresponds to the statewide average CMI.

The next step is to determine the Medicaid acuity adjusted allowable Direct Health Care cost. The facility's Medicaid CMI is determined by averaging the facility average Medicaid CMI from the two quarters preceding the rate effective date. The facility's Medicaid CMI is then divided by the statewide average CMI for the cost data period. Finally, this result, is then multiplied by the Allowable Direct Health Care per diem cost. The result is referred to as the Medicaid Acuity Adjustment.

The Medicaid Acuity Adjustment is calculated semi-annually to account for changes in the Medicaid CMI. To illustrate this calculation, take the following situation: The facility's direct health care per diem cost is \$120.00, the Direct Health Care per diem limit is \$195.00, and these are both tied to a statewide average CMI of 1.000, and the facility's current Medicaid CMI is 0.9000. Since the per diem costs are less than the limit the Allowable Direct Health Care Cost is \$120.00, and this is matched with the statewide average CMI of 1.0000. To calculate the Medicaid Acuity Adjustment, first divide the Medicaid CMI by the statewide average CMI, then multiply the result by the Allowable Direct Health Care Cost. In this case that would result in \$108.00 ($0.9000/1.0000 \times \120.00). Because the facility's current Medicaid CMI is less than the statewide average CMI the Medicaid Acuity Adjustment moves the direct health care per diem down proportionally. In contrast, if the Medicaid CMI for the next semi-annual adjustment rose to 1.1000, the Medicaid Acuity Adjustment would be \$132.00 ($1.1000/1.0000 \times \120.00). Again, the Medicaid Acuity Adjustment changes the Allowable Direct Health Care Per Diem Cost to match the current Medicaid CMI.

8. Real and Personal Property Fee

The property component of the reimbursement methodology consists of the real and personal property fee (property fee). The property fee is paid in lieu of an allowable cost of mortgage interest, depreciation, lease expense and/or amortization of leasehold improvements. The fee is facility specific and does not change as a result of a change of ownership, change in lease, or with re-enrollment in the Medicaid program. The original property fee was comprised of two components, a property allowance and a property value factor. The differentiation of the fee into these components was eliminated effective July 1, 2002. At that time each facility's fee was re-established based on the sum of the property allowance and value factor. The providers receive the lower of the inflated property fee or the upper payment limit.

For providers re-enrolling in the Kansas Medical Assistance program or providers enrolling for the first time but operating in a facility that was previously enrolled in the program, the property fee shall be the sum of the last effective property allowance and the last effective value factor for that facility. The property fee will be inflated

to 12/31/08 and then compared to the upper payment limit. The property fee will be the lower of the facility-specific inflated property fee or the upper payment limit.

Providers entering the Kansas Medical Assistance program for the first time, who are operating in a building for which a fee has not previously been established, shall have a property fee calculated from the ownership costs reported on the cost report. This fee shall include appropriate components for rent or lease expense, interest expense on real estate mortgage, amortization of leasehold improvements, and depreciation on buildings and equipment. The process for calculating the property fee for providers entering the Kansas Medical Assistance program for the first time is explained in greater detail in K.A.R. 129-10-25.

There is a provision for changing the property fee. This is for a rebasing when capital expenditure thresholds are met (\$25,000 for homes under 51 beds and \$50,000 for homes over 50 beds). The original property fee remains constant but the additional factor for the rebasing is added. The property fee rebasing is explained in greater detail in K.A.R. 129-10-25. The rebased property fee is subject to the upper payment limit.

9. Incentive Factors

An incentive factor will be awarded to both NF and NF-MH providers that meet certain outcome measures criteria. The criteria for NF and NF-MH providers will be determined separately based on arrays of outcome measures for each provider group.

Nursing Facility Quality and Efficiency Incentive Factor

The Nursing Facility Incentive Factor is a per diem amount determined by four per diem add-ons providers can earn for various outcomes measures. Providers that maintain a case mix adjusted staffing ratio at or above the 75th percentile will earn a \$3.00 per diem add-on. Providers that fall below the 75th percentile staffing ratio but improve their staffing ratio by 10% or more will earn a \$0.50 per diem add-on. Providers that achieve a staff retention rate at or above the 75th percentile will earn a \$2.50 per diem add-on as long as contracted labor costs do not exceed 10% of the provider's total direct health care labor costs. Providers that have a staff retention rate lower than the 75th percentile but that increase their staff retention rate by 10% or more will receive a per diem add-on of \$0.50 as long as contracted labor costs do not exceed 10% of the provider's total direct health care labor costs. Providers that have a Medicaid occupancy percentage of 65% or more will receive a \$0.75 per diem add-on. Finally, providers that maintain quality measures at or above the 75th percentile will earn a \$1.25 per diem add-on. The total of all the per diem add-ons a provider qualifies for will be their incentive factor.

The table below summarizes the incentive factor outcomes and per diem add-ons:

Incentive Outcome	Incentive Add-ons
CMI adjusted staffing ratio \geq 75th percentile (5.80), or CMI adjusted staffing < 75th percentile but improved \geq 10%	\$3.00 \$0.50
Staff retention rate \geq 75th percentile, 69% Contracted labor < 10% of total direct health care labor costs or Staff retention rate < 75th percentile but increased \geq 10% Contracted labor < 10% of total direct health care labor costs	\$2.50 \$0.50
Medicaid occupancy \geq 65%	\$0.75
Quality Measures \geq 75th percentile (600)	\$1.25
Total Incentive Add-on Available	\$7.50

The Culture Change/Person-Centered Care Incentive Program

The Culture Change/Person-Centered Care Incentive Program (PEAK 2.0) includes nine different incentive levels to recognize homes that are either pursuing culture change, have made major achievements in the pursuit of culture change, have met minimum competencies in person-centered care, have sustained person-centered care, or are mentoring others in person-centered care.

Each incentive level has a specific pay-for-performance incentive per diem attached to it that homes can earn by meeting defined outcomes. The first six levels (Level 0 – Level 5) are intended to encourage quality improvement for homes that have not yet met the minimum competency requirements for a person-centered care home.

Level 6 recognizes those homes that have attained a minimum level of core competency in person-centered care. Level 7 and Level 8 are reserved for those homes that have demonstrated sustained person-centered care for multiple years and have gone on to mentor other homes in their pursuit of person-centered care. The table below provides a brief overview of each of the levels.

Level & Per Diem Incentive	Summary of Required Nursing Home Action	Incentive Duration
<p>LEVEL 0:</p> <p>The Foundation</p> <p>\$0.50 Per Medicaid Resident Per Day (PMRPD)</p>	<p>Home completes a self-evaluation tool according to the enrollment instructions. Home participates in all required activities noted in the Foundation timeline and Workbook. Homes that do not complete the requirements at this level must sit out for the remainder of the program year. At successful completion of the Foundation level, homes move to Level 1.</p>	<p>Available beginning July 1 of the enrollment year. Incentive granted for one full fiscal year, provided the home participates in program activities. Homes' incentive may be dropped mid-year for non-participation. Receipt of incentive also based on survey eligibility.</p>
<p>LEVEL 1:</p> <p>0-2 Cores</p> <p>\$0.75 PMRPD</p>	<p>Home completes a self- evaluation tool (annually). Home submits an action plan addressing at least 2 of the total 12 PEAK cores. A home can turn in additional action plans mid-year at their discretion. Homes are eligible for level 1 incentive by passing the Foundation level and/or sustaining practices in 1-2 cores. Level 1 homes undergo an in-person or Zoom evaluation with the PEAK team. 20-25 homes are selected for a random site visit. Homes must participate in the random site visit, if selected, to continue incentive payment. Action planned cores are evaluated within the same fiscal year. Previously passed cores will be re-evaluated every 2 years for sustainability. Level is adjusted based on the evaluation results and KDADS' guidance.</p>	<p>Available beginning July 1 of the enrollment year. Incentive granted for one full fiscal year. Receipt of incentive also based on survey eligibility.</p>

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

Level & Per Diem Incentive	Summary of Required Nursing Home Action	Incentive Duration
<p>LEVEL 2: 3-4 Cores \$1.00 PMRPD</p>	<p>Home completes a self- evaluation tool (annually). Home submits an action plan addressing at least 2 of the total 12 PEAK cores. A home can turn in additional action plans mid-year at their discretion. Homes are eligible for level 2 incentive by passing and/or sustaining 3-4 cores. Level 2 homes undergo an in-person or Zoom evaluation with the PEAK team. 20-25 homes are selected for a random site visit. Homes must participate in the random site visit, if selected, to continue incentive payment. Action planned cores are evaluated within the same fiscal year. Previously passed cores will be re-evaluated every 2 years for sustainability. Level is adjusted based on the evaluation results and KDADS' guidance.</p>	<p>Available beginning July 1 of the enrollment year. Incentive granted for one full fiscal year. Receipt of incentive also based on survey eligibility.</p>
<p>LEVEL 3: 5-6 Cores \$1.25 PMRPD</p>	<p>Home completes a self- evaluation tool (annually). Home submits an action plan addressing at least 2 of the total 12 PEAK cores. A home can turn in additional action plans mid-year at their discretion. Homes are eligible for level 3 incentive by passing and/or sustaining 5-6 cores. Level 3 homes undergo an in-person or Zoom evaluation with the PEAK team. 20-25 homes are selected for a random site visit. Homes must participate in the random site visit, if selected, to continue incentive payment. Action planned cores are evaluated within the same fiscal year. Previously passed cores will be re-evaluated every 2 years for sustainability. Level is adjusted based on the evaluation results and KDADS' guidance.</p>	<p>Available beginning July 1 of the enrollment year. Incentive granted for one full fiscal year. Receipt of incentive also based on survey eligibility.</p>
<p>LEVEL 4: 7-8 Cores \$1.50 PMRPD</p>	<p>Home completes a self- evaluation tool (annually). Home submits an action plan addressing at least 2 of the total 12 PEAK cores. A home can turn in additional action plans mid-year at their discretion. Homes are eligible for level 4 incentive by passing and/or sustaining 7-8 cores. Level 4 homes undergo an in-person or Zoom evaluation with the PEAK team. 20-25 homes are selected for a random site visit. Homes must participate in the random site visit, if selected, to continue incentive payment. Action planned cores are evaluated within the same fiscal year. Previously passed cores will be re-evaluated every 2 years for sustainability. Level is adjusted based on the evaluation results and KDADS' guidance.</p>	<p>Available beginning July 1 of the enrollment year. Incentive granted for one full fiscal year. Receipt of incentive also based on survey eligibility.</p>

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

Level & Per Diem Incentive	Summary of Required Nursing Home Action	Incentive Duration
<p>LEVEL 5:</p> <p>9-11 Cores</p> <p>\$1.75 PMRPD</p>	<p>Home completes a self- evaluation tool (annually). Home submits an action plan addressing at least 2 of the total 12 PEAK cores. A home can turn in additional action plans mid-year at their discretion. Homes are eligible for level 5 incentive by passing and/or sustaining 9-11 cores. Level 5 homes undergo an in-person or Zoom evaluation with the PEAK team. 20-25 homes are selected for a random site visit. Homes must participate in the random site visit, if selected, to continue incentive payment. Action planned cores are evaluated within the same fiscal year. Previously passed cores will be re-evaluated every 2 years for sustainability. Level is adjusted based on the evaluation results and KDADS' guidance.</p>	<p>Available beginning July 1 of the enrollment year. Incentive granted for one full fiscal year. Receipt of incentive also based on survey eligibility.</p>
<p>LEVEL 6:</p> <p>12 Cores</p> <p>Person-Centered Care Home</p> <p>\$2.00 PMRPD</p>	<p>Home completes a self- evaluation tool (annually). Homes are eligible for level 6 by demonstrating minimum competency as a person-centered care home (passes all 12 core areas or 90% of the PEAK practices). The home does this by passing a full on-site visit to evaluate all 12 PEAK core areas. KDADS and KSU will facilitate a full on-site visit to evaluate PEAK practices. KDADS will make final determination of movement to level 6.</p>	<p>Available beginning July 1 following confirmed minimum competency of person-centered practice. Incentive is granted for one full fiscal year. Receipt of incentive also based on survey eligibility.</p>
<p>LEVEL 7:</p> <p>12 Cores</p> <p>Sustained</p> <p>Person-Centered Care Home</p> <p>\$2.50 PMRPD</p>	<p>Home completes a self- evaluation tool (annually). Homes are eligible for level 7 by demonstrating minimum competency as a person-centered care home (passes all 12 core areas or 90% of the PEAK practices) two consecutive years. The home does this by passing a full on-site visit to evaluate all 12 PEAK core areas. KDADS and KSU will facilitate a full on-site visit to evaluate PEAK practices. KDADS will make final determination of movement to level 7.</p>	<p>Available beginning July 1 following confirmation of the upkeep of minimum person-centered care competencies in all 12 PEAK cores for the second consecutive year. Incentive is granted for two fiscal years. Renewable biannually. Receipt of incentive also based on survey eligibility.</p>
<p>LEVEL 8:</p> <p>12 Cores</p> <p>Mentor Home</p> <p>\$3.00 PMRPD</p>	<p>Home completes a self- evaluation tool (annually). Homes are eligible for level 8 by demonstrating minimum competency as a person-centered care home (passes all 12 core areas or 90% of the PEAK practices) two consecutive years and meeting the minimum mentoring activities, as directed in the mentoring log. The home does this by passing a full on-site visit to evaluate all 12 PEAK core areas. KDADS and KSU will facilitate a full on-site visit to evaluate PEAK practices bi-annually and turning in a mentor log. KDADS will make final determination of movement to level 8.</p>	<p>Available beginning July 1 following confirmation of mentor home standards (upkeep of minimum person-centered care competencies in all 12 PEAK cores and mentoring points). Incentive is granted for two fiscal years. Renewable bi-annually. Receipt of incentive also based on survey eligibility.</p>

Nursing Facility for Mental Health Quality and Efficiency Incentive Factor

The Quality and Efficiency Incentive plan for Nursing Facilities for Mental Health (NFMH) will be established separately from nursing facilities. Nursing Facilities for Mental Health serve people who often do not need the NF level of care on a long-term basis. There is a desire to provide incentive for NFMHs to work cooperatively and in coordination with Community Mental Health Centers to facilitate the return of persons to the community.

The Quality and Efficiency Incentive Factor is a per diem add-on ranging from zero to seven dollars and fifty cents. It is designed to encourage quality care, efficiency and cooperation with discharge planning. The incentive factor is determined by five outcome measures: case-mix adjusted nurse staffing ratio; operating expense; staff turnover rate; staff retention rate; and occupancy rate. Each provider is awarded points based on their outcomes measures and the total points for each provider determine the per diem incentive factor included in the provider's rate calculation.

Providers may earn up to two incentive points for their case mix adjusted nurse staffing ratio. They will receive two points if their case-mix adjusted staffing ratio equals or exceeds 3.50, which is 120% of the statewide NFMH median of 2.92. They will receive one point if the ratio is less than 120% of the NFMH median but greater than or equal to 3.21, which is 110% of the statewide NFMH median. Providers with staffing ratios below 110% of the NFMH median will receive no points for this incentive measure.

NFMH providers may earn one point for low occupancy outcomes measures. If they have total occupancy less than 90%, they will earn a point.

NFMH providers may earn one point for low operating expense outcomes measures. The provider will earn one point if the per diem operating expenses are below \$33.71, or 90% of the statewide median of \$37.45.

NFMH providers may earn up to two points for the turnover rate outcomes measure. Providers with direct health care staff turnover equal to or below 52%, the 75th percentile statewide, will earn two points as long as contracted labor costs do not exceed 10% of the provider's total direct health care labor costs. Providers with direct health care staff turnover greater than 52% but equal to or below 75%, the 50th percentile statewide, will earn one point as long as contracted labor costs do not exceed 10% of the provider's total direct health care labor costs.

Finally, NFMH providers may earn up to two points for the retention rate outcomes measure. Providers with staff retention rates at or above 76%, the 75th percentile statewide will earn two points. Providers with staff retention rates below 76% but at or above 67%, the 50th percentile statewide, will earn one point.

The table below summarizes the incentive factor outcomes and points:

Quality/Efficiency Outcome	Incentive Points
CMI adjusted staffing ratio \geq 120% (3.50) of NF-MH median (2.92), or CMI adjusted staffing ratio between 110% (3.21) and 120%	2, or 1
Total occupancy \leq 90%	1
Operating expenses $<$ \$33.71, 90% of NF-MH median, \$37.45	1
Staff turnover rate \leq 75th percentile, 52%	2, or
Staff turnover rate \leq 50th percentile, 75%	1
Contracted labor $<$ 10% of total direct health care labor costs	
Staff retention \geq 75th percentile, 76%	2, or
Staff retention \geq 50th percentile, 67%	1
Total Incentive Points Available	8

Schedule E is an array containing the incentive points awarded to each NFMH provider for each quality and efficiency incentive outcome. The total of these points will be used to determine each provider's incentive factor based on the following table.

<u>Total Incentive Points</u>	<u>Incentive Factor Per Diem</u>
Tier 1: 6-8 points	\$7.50
Tier 2: 5 points	\$5.00
Tier 3: 4 points	\$2.50
Tier 4: 0-3 points	\$0.00

The survey and certification performance of each NF and NFMH provider will be reviewed quarterly to determine each provider's eligibility for incentive factor payments. In order to qualify for an incentive factor, a home must not have received any health care survey deficiency of scope and severity level "H" or higher during the survey review period. Homes that receive "G" level deficiencies, but no "H" level or higher deficiencies, and that are in compliance within 30 days of the survey, will be eligible to receive 50% of the calculated incentive factor. Homes that receive no deficiencies higher than scope and severity level "F" will be eligible to receive 100% of the calculated incentive factor. The survey and certification review period will be the 12-month period ending one quarter prior to the incentive eligibility review date. The following table lists the incentive eligibility review dates and corresponding review period end dates.

<u>Incentive Eligibility Effective Date</u>	<u>Review Period End Date</u>
July 1	March 31st
October 1	June 30th
January 1	September 30th
April 1	December 31st

10. Rate Effective Date

Rate effective dates are determined in accordance with K.A.R. 129-10-19. The rate may be revised for an add-on reimbursement factor (i.e., rebased property fee), desk review adjustment or field audit adjustment.

11. Retroactive Rate Adjustments

Retroactive adjustments, as in a retrospective system, are made for the following three conditions:

A retroactive rate adjustment and direct cash settlement is made if the agency determines that the base year cost report data used to determine the prospective payment rate was in error. The prospective payment rate period is adjusted for the corrections.

If a projected cost report is approved to determine an interim rate, a settlement is also made after a historic cost report is filed for the same period.

All settlements are subject to upper payment limits. A provider is considered to be in projection status if they are operating on a projected rate and they are subject to the retroactive rate adjustment.

A.2 Medicaid Add-On

To compensate and incentivize providers with high Medicaid participation a per diem add-on has been determined and will be paid to each Medicaid provider in SFY26. The per diem will be added to the nursing facility Medicaid per diem rate.

Qualifying Providers

All providers currently enrolled in the Medicaid program will be eligible for the add-on.

Medicaid Add-On Calculation

Funds allocated for the add-on are in a flat rate of \$20.00 per Medicaid resident day. Each facility's Medicaid rate will be determined by adding \$20.00 to the facility's base SFY26 per diem rate. This \$20.00 Medicaid add-on is reflected in the calculation of all providers' Daily Rates, which are listed under II.C ("Rates").

A.3 Rapid Response Staffing Grant Adjustment

The Kansas Department of Health and Environment began partnering with KFMC Health Improvement Partners (KFMC) in 2022 to assist long-term care facilities impacted by COVID-19 with emergency temporary staffing services through the Rapid Response Staffing Support Center Grant program. This program provides qualifying nursing facilities with short-term (up to two weeks) emergency staffing services. The costs of the emergency staffing services provided to each facility are covered entirely by the program with no expenditures from the facility. Therefore, this additional staffing and the costs related to it are not reflected in the Medicaid cost reports. To account for grant program expenditures made on behalf of each Medicaid nursing facility, a Rapid Response Staffing Grant Adjustment will be added to each participating facility's total reported Direct Health Care Costs for each applicable year in the base cost data period. The Rapid Response Staffing Grant Adjustment will reflect the amount of grant funds expended in a given cost report year to provide emergency staffing services to the facility. This amount will be combined with the total reported costs and cost report adjustments to determine the total adjusted costs for Direct Health Care for each cost report year included in the base data period. The grant expenditures will be subject to inflation and case mix adjustments applied to the Direct Health Care costs for each year. The grant expenditures will then flow through the rate calculation as part of the Direct Health Care costs subject to the cost center limitation and Medicaid acuity adjustment to determine the Direct Health Care per diem rate component.

Qualifying Providers

All providers identified by KFMC as receiving emergency temporary staffing services through the Rapid Response Staffing Support Center Grant program.

Rapid Response Staffing Grant Adjustment:

The annual grant expenditure amount made on behalf of each facility will be added to the Direct Health Care costs prior to adjusting for inflation and case mix.

II. Medicaid Per Diem Rates for Kansas Nursing Facilities

A. Cost Center Limitations

The state establishes the following cost center limitations which are used in setting rates effective July 1, 2025.

Cost Center	Limit Formula	Per Day Limit
Operating	110% of the Median Cost	\$60.48
Indirect Health Care	115% of the Median Cost	\$73.49
Direct Health Care	130% of the Median Cost	\$200.30
Real and Personal Property Fee	105% of the Median Fee	\$10.69

These amounts were determined according to the "Reimbursement Limitations" section. The Direct Healthcare Limit is calculated based on a CMI of 1.2921, which is the statewide average for the three-year base cost data period.

B. Case Mix Index

These revised final rates are based upon each nursing facility's Medicaid CMI calculated as the average of the quarterly Medicaid CMI averages with the cutoff dates of January 1, 2025 and April 1, 2025. The CMI calculations use PDPM Nursing component CMI values implemented by CMS effective October 1, 2019. In Section II.C below, each nursing facility's Medicaid average CMI is listed beside its per diem rate.

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

C. Rates

The following list includes the calculated Medicaid rate for each nursing facility provider currently enrolled in the Medicaid program and the Medicaid case mix index used to determine each rate.

Facility Name	City	Daily Rate	Medicaid CMI
Village Manor	Abilene	345.88	1.0945
Life Care Center of Andover	Andover	244.47	1.3983
Anthony Community Care Center	Anthony	277.55	1.1825
Arkansas City Presbyterian Manor	Arkansas City	293.53	1.1067
Medicalodges Health Care Ctr Arkansas	Arkansas City	269.25	1.2240
Arma Operator, LLC	Arma	299.89	1.7619
Atchison Senior Village Rehab & NC	Atchison	382.83	1.5641
Dooley Center	Atchison	324.49	0.9441
Medicalodges Atchison	Atchison	306.89	1.3203
Attica Long Term Care	Attica	364.11	1.1541
Good Samaritan Society-Atwood	Atwood	342.39	1.2925
Lake Point Nursing Center	Augusta	260.87	1.2842
Baldwin Healthcare & Rehab Center	Baldwin City	322.98	1.4881
Quaker Hill Manor	Baxter Springs	268.25	1.1322
Catholic Care Center Inc.	Bel Aire	347.62	1.2850
Belleville Healthcare and Rehab Ctr	Belleville	334.35	1.6291
Hilltop Lodge Health and Rehab	Beloit	340.31	1.9452
Mitchell County Hospital LTCU	Beloit	350.15	1.1967
Advena Living of Bonner Springs	Bonner Springs	312.29	1.3239
Hill Top House	Bucklin	324.74	1.2251
Buhler Sunshine Home, Inc.	Buhler	330.41	1.2198
Life Care Center of Burlington	Burlington	301.31	1.2780
Eastridge Nursing Home	Centralia	383.18	1.3701
Diversicare of Chanute	Chanute	278.72	1.3456
Heritage Health Care Center	Chanute	254.58	1.2877
Chapman Valley Manor	Chapman	291.63	1.2047
Cheney Golden Age Home Inc.	Cheney	307.13	1.2026
Advena Living of Cherryvale	Cherryvale	249.69	1.1717
The Shepherd's Center	Cimarron	302.64	1.1053
Advena Living of Clay Center	Clay Center	270.07	1.0771
Clay Center Presbyterian Manor	Clay Center	309.16	1.0792
Advena Living of Clearwater	Clearwater	285.96	1.1880
Park Villa Nursing Home	Clyde	262.06	1.0658

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

Facility Name	City	Daily Rate	Medicaid CMI
Medicalodges Coffeyville on Midland	Coffeyville	304.75	1.2694
Colby Operator, LLC	Colby	408.56	1.9166
Prairie Senior Living Complex	Colby	362.77	1.2621
Pioneer Lodge	Coldwater	289.41	1.1470
Medicalodges Columbus	Columbus	312.30	1.2914
Sunset Home, Inc.	Concordia	297.35	1.3013
Spring View Manor Healthcare & Rehab	Conway Springs	288.17	1.4703
Chase County Care and Rehab	Cottonwood Falls	386.44	1.7849
Diversicare of Council Grove	Council Grove	262.45	1.2953
Hilltop Manor Nursing Center	Cunningham	290.11	1.4733
Derby Health and Rehabilitation	Derby	358.22	1.5264
Westview of Derby Rehab & Health	Derby	257.73	1.2935
Hillside Village of DeSoto	DeSoto	280.84	1.4112
Manor of the Plains	Dodge City	351.42	1.3045
Sunporch of Dodge City	Dodge City	314.67	1.0723
Trinity Manor	Dodge City	295.52	1.1909
Downs Care and Rehab	Downs	335.19	1.6266
Anew Healthcare Easton	Easton	297.30	1.4547
Edwardsville Care and Rehab	Edwardsville	206.42	1.0733
Kaw River Care and Rehab	Edwardsville	325.52	1.6283
Parkway Care and Rehab	Edwardsville	292.49	1.5479
El Dorado Care and Rehab	El Dorado	348.13	1.6103
Lakepoint Nursing Center-El Dorado	El Dorado	277.00	1.2747
Good Samaritan Society-Ellis	Ellis	283.07	1.2110
Good Sam Society-Ellsworth Village	Ellsworth	330.20	1.3926
Emporia Presbyterian Manor	Emporia	315.43	1.2242
Flint Hills Care and Rehab Center	Emporia	274.55	1.4506
Enterprise Estates Nursing Center, I	Enterprise	295.74	1.2830
Eskridge Care and Rehab	Eskridge	261.65	1.1830
Medicalodges Eudora	Eudora	299.05	1.2366
Eureka Nursing Center	Eureka	251.46	1.2847
Kansas Soldiers' Home	Fort Dodge	356.66	1.3075
Medicalodges Fort Scott	Fort Scott	257.35	1.0973
Fowler Residential Care	Fowler	322.60	1.0486
Frankfort Community Care Home, Inc.	Frankfort	308.77	1.0198

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

Facility Name	City	Daily Rate	Medicaid CMI
Medicalodges Frontenac	Frontenac	267.29	1.2989
Galena Nursing Home	Galena	246.52	1.1119
Garden Valley Retirement Village	Garden City	240.61	1.2679
Ranch House Senior Living	Garden City	290.49	1.4012
Recover Care Meadowbrook Rehab, LLC	Gardner	435.78	1.7345
Anderson County Hospital	Garnett	338.09	1.0554
Parkview Heights Nursing and Rehab	Garnett	300.44	1.3612
The Nicol Home, Inc.	Glasco	265.06	1.1420
Medicalodges Goddard	Goddard	293.72	1.2254
Bethesda Home	Goessel	373.26	1.3464
Topside Manor, Inc	Goodland	306.62	1.1125
Azria Health Great Bend	Great Bend	302.53	1.6913
Medicalodges Great Bend	Great Bend	337.60	1.1963
Halstead Health and Rehab Center	Halstead	252.47	1.1878
Haviland Operator, LLC	Haviland	197.75	0.9671
Ascension Living Via Christi Village	Hays	336.07	1.1654
Good Samaritan Society-Hays	Hays	304.02	1.4207
Diversicare of Haysville	Haysville	238.08	1.4260
Legacy at Herington	Herington	308.44	1.3202
Schowalter Villa	Hesston	354.66	1.1362
Maple Heights Nursing & Rehabilitative Center	Hiawatha	256.47	1.2640
Dawson Place, Inc.	Hill City	284.96	1.2532
Parkside Homes, Inc.	Hillsboro	306.55	1.2630
Salem Home	Hillsboro	292.39	1.2620
Anew Healthcare Holton	Holton	363.44	1.3682
Sheridan County Hospital	Hoxie	363.09	1.2885
Pioneer Manor	Hugoton	338.47	1.1542
Diversicare of Hutchinson	Hutchinson	299.70	1.2832
Good Sam Society-Hutchinson Village	Hutchinson	303.40	1.1393
Hutchinson Operator, LLC	Hutchinson	321.14	1.4863
Wesley Towers	Hutchinson	289.85	1.0397
Medicalodges Independence	Independence	259.31	1.1830
Montgomery Place Nursing Center, LLC	Independence	248.45	1.2055
Pleasant View Home	Inman	327.51	1.2146
Medicalodges Iola	Iola	314.25	1.1558

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

Facility Name	City	Daily Rate	Medicaid CMI
Stanton County Hospital- LTCU	Johnson	349.89	1.2036
Valley View Senior Life	Junction City	281.27	1.2618
Ignite Med Resort Rainbow Blvd, LLC	Kansas City	323.95	1.5239
Lifecare Center of Kansas City	Kansas City	277.28	1.0984
Medicalodges Post Acute Care Center	Kansas City	290.79	1.1733
Providence Place LTCU	Kansas City	377.86	1.4849
Riverbend Post Acute Rehabilitation	Kansas City	323.47	1.4907
The Healthcare Resort of Kansas City	Kansas City	345.33	1.3337
The Wheatlands	Kingman	289.81	1.4912
Medicalodges Kinsley	Kinsley	316.01	1.1725
Kiowa District Manor	Kiowa	317.68	1.0553
Locust Grove Village	Lacrosse	271.09	1.0760
High Plains Retirement Village	Lakin	395.22	1.5591
Lansing Care and Rehab	Lansing	312.98	1.4208
Twin Oaks Health & Rehab	Lansing	295.43	1.3104
Diversicare of Larned	Larned	249.90	1.1507
Lawrence Presbyterian Manor	Lawrence	352.70	1.1778
Pioneer Ridge Retirement Community	Lawrence	247.27	1.2178
Medicalodges Leavenworth	Leavenworth	355.81	1.5122
The Healthcare Resort of Leawood	Leawood	370.80	1.5560
Delmar Gardens of Lenexa	Lenexa	258.94	1.2226
Lakeview Village	Lenexa	340.20	1.0811
Westchester Village of Lenexa	Lenexa	439.82	1.8500
Leonardville Nursing Home	Leonardville	328.54	1.1723
Wichita County Health Center	Leoti	294.67	0.8438
Good Samaritan Society-Liberal	Liberal	312.64	1.3114
Wheatridge Park Care Center	Liberal	295.86	1.2852
Lincoln Park Manor, Inc.	Lincoln	294.83	1.1974
Bethany Home Association	Lindsborg	332.63	1.0631
Linn Community Nursing Home	Linn	281.71	1.4164
Sandstone Heights Nursing Home	Little River	340.01	1.2319
Logan Manor Community Health Service	Logan	299.40	1.2550
Louisburg Healthcare and Rehab Center	Louisburg	357.34	1.6971
Ascension Living Via Christi Village	Manhattan	312.11	1.1979
Meadowlark Hills Retirement Community	Manhattan	353.32	1.2595

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

Facility Name	City	Daily Rate	Medicaid CMI
Stoneybrook Retirement Community	Manhattan	283.30	1.3744
St. Luke Living Center	Marion	306.14	1.2259
Riverview Estates, Inc.	Marquette	327.94	1.3292
Cambridge Place	Marysville	283.64	1.5314
McPherson Operator, LLC	McPherson	342.19	2.0320
The Cedars, Inc.	McPherson	351.42	1.1773
Lone Tree Retirement Community LLC	Meade	336.64	1.0872
Merriam Gardens Healthcare & Rehab	Merriam	307.40	1.4376
Minneapolis Healthcare and Rehab	Minneapolis	305.10	1.6574
Minneola District Hospital-LTCU	Minneola	355.11	1.2387
Bethel Home, Inc.	Montezuma	299.59	1.0946
Moran Manor	Moran	245.22	1.1932
Moundridge Manor, Inc.	Moundridge	322.82	1.1287
Pine Village	Moundridge	317.15	1.2869
Villa Maria, Inc.	Mulvane	304.80	1.1870
Neodesha Care and Rehab	Neodesha	298.93	1.5811
Ness County Hospital Dist.#2	Ness City	336.63	1.0662
Kansas Christian Home	Newton	326.34	1.2641
Newton Presbyterian Manor	Newton	330.09	1.1163
Paramount Community Living and Rehab	Newton	328.36	1.3617
Bethel Care Center	North Newton	385.64	1.3779
Andbe Home, Inc.	Norton	255.88	1.0864
Anew Healthcare	Nortonville	280.18	1.4495
Logan County Senior Living	Oakley	350.99	1.2418
Good Samaritan Society-Decatur Co.	Oberlin	325.36	1.0888
Aberdeen Village, Inc.	Olathe	371.27	1.3284
Azria Health at Olathe	Olathe	341.65	1.4636
Evergreen Community of Johnson Count	Olathe	366.00	1.3021
Good Samaritan Society-Olathe	Olathe	363.61	1.2791
Nottingham Health & Rehab	Olathe	362.80	1.1586
The Healthcare Resort of Olathe	Olathe	378.51	1.7919
Villa St. Francis Catholic Care Ctr.	Olathe	365.31	1.4659
Onaga Operator, LLC	Onaga	310.04	1.5036
Osage Nursing & Rehab Center	Osage City	269.34	1.1718
Parkview Health and Rehab LLC	Osborne	275.83	1.5193

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

Facility Name	City	Daily Rate	Medicaid CMI
Heritage Gardens Health and Rehab	Oskaloosa	366.25	1.5766
Oswego Operator, LLC	Oswego	294.60	1.6060
Rock Creek of Ottawa	Ottawa	319.32	1.7399
Brookside Manor	Overbrook	286.25	1.3138
Aspen Health and Wellness	Overland Park	344.32	1.5458
Brookdale Overland Park	Overland Park	378.44	1.3950
Colonial Village	Overland Park	359.91	1.3030
Delmar Gardens of Overland Park	Overland Park	339.64	1.3433
Excel Healthcare and Rehab OP	Overland Park	337.28	1.2141
Garden Terrace at Overland Park	Overland Park	300.08	1.2317
Ignite Medical Resort Overland Park	Overland Park	413.44	1.4806
Shawnee Post Acute Rehab Center	Overland Park	371.07	1.6251
Stratford Commons Rehab & HCC	Overland Park	360.31	1.5428
Swan Health at Overland Park	Overland Park	448.16	3.0189
Tallgrass Creek, Inc.	Overland Park	424.35	1.6434
Village Shalom, Inc.	Overland Park	353.64	1.1794
Medicalodges Paola	Paola	179.67	0.8761
North Point Skilled Nursing Center	Paola	262.01	1.2607
Elmhaven East	Parsons	250.29	1.1789
Good Samaritan Society-Parsons	Parsons	296.03	1.1355
Parsons Presbyterian Manor	Parsons	332.90	1.1544
Access Mental Health	Peabody	189.98	0.9195
Peabody Operator, LLC	Peabody	248.06	1.1292
Phillips County Retirement Center	Phillipsburg	275.04	1.1596
Ascension Living Via Christi Village	Pittsburg	325.74	1.3213
Medicalodges Pittsburg South	Pittsburg	315.21	1.2383
Pittsburg Care and Rehab	Pittsburg	271.56	1.4723
Rooks County Senior Services, Inc.	Plainville	329.09	1.2894
The Village at Mission	Prairie Village	394.18	1.4603
Grand Plains-Skilled Nursing	Pratt	260.63	1.2515
Pratt Operator, LLC	Pratt	313.83	1.9645
Prairie Sunset Manor	Pretty Prairie	329.38	1.5856
Protection Valley Manor	Protection	254.97	1.0394
Richmond Healthcare and Rehab Center	Richmond	313.88	1.5320
Advena Living at Fountainview	Rose Hill	259.54	1.0284

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

Facility Name	City	Daily Rate	Medicaid CMI
Rossville Healthcare and Rehab Center	Rossville	331.54	1.5787
Russell Regional Hospital	Russell	349.58	1.1563
Wheatland Nursing & Rehab Center	Russell	241.65	1.1996
Apostolic Christian Home	Sabetha	314.17	1.2015
Sabetha Nursing Center	Sabetha	246.05	1.0287
Holiday Resort of Salina	Salina	295.18	1.3334
Kenwood View Health and Rehab Center	Salina	303.92	1.6812
Pinnacle Park Nursing and Rehabilitation	Salina	315.88	1.5897
Salina Presbyterian Manor	Salina	329.87	1.1804
Salina Windsor SNF OPCO, LLC	Salina	254.71	1.1794
Smoky Hill Rehabilitation Center	Salina	261.28	1.5059
Park Lane Nursing Home	Scott City	351.47	1.2877
Pleasant Valley Manor	Sedan	231.09	1.1598
Diversicare of Sedgwick	Sedgwick	325.50	1.3540
Crestview Nursing & Residential Living	Seneca	262.17	1.1584
Life Care Center of Seneca	Seneca	287.81	1.2911
Brookdale Rosehill	Shawnee	376.37	1.3650
Sharon Lane Health and Rehabilitation	Shawnee	276.33	1.1929
Shawnee Gardens Healthcare and Rehab	Shawnee	322.20	1.5306
Smith Center Operator, LLC	Smith Center	283.63	1.7710
Sunporch of Smith County	Smith Center	330.36	1.2129
Mennonite Friendship Manor, Inc.	South Hutchinson	362.41	1.4280
Southwinds at Spearville	Spearville	324.85	1.2779
Spring Hill Care and Rehab	Spring Hill	320.73	1.6674
Cheyenne County Village,,Inc.	St. Francis	367.09	1.3965
Community Hospital of Onaga, LTCU	St. Mary's	374.77	1.2986
Prairie Mission Retirement Village	St. Paul	257.01	1.0909
Leisure Homestead at Stafford	Stafford	271.59	1.2598
Sterling Village	Sterling	360.48	1.2819
Solomon Valley Manor	Stockton	286.92	1.1859
Tonganoxie Opco LLC	Tonganoxie	308.94	1.3007
Advena Living on Tenth	Topeka	258.11	1.0864
Brewster Health Center	Topeka	356.47	1.2296
Brighton Place North	Topeka	139.44	0.9926
Brighton Place West Health Center	Topeka	258.65	1.3868

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

Facility Name	City	Daily Rate	Medicaid CMI
Countryside Health Center	Topeka	148.27	1.0074
Lexington Park Nursing and Post Acute	Topeka	337.23	1.5631
McCrite Plaza Health Center	Topeka	326.30	1.4536
Providence OpCo LLC	Topeka	219.83	1.0772
Recover-Care Plaza West Care Center	Topeka	295.17	1.6754
Rolling Hills Health Center	Topeka	241.63	1.2037
Tanglewood Nursing and Rehabilitation	Topeka	253.72	1.3574
The Gardens at Aldersgate	Topeka	340.79	1.6757
The Healthcare Resort of Topeka	Topeka	344.45	1.9950
Topeka Presbyterian Manor Inc.	Topeka	372.71	1.3207
Greeley County Hospital, LTCU	Tribune	333.47	1.2270
Western Prairie Senior Living	Ulysses	293.16	1.2761
Valley Health Care Center	Valley Falls	222.90	0.9515
Trego Co. Lemke Memorial LTCU	Wakeeney	318.30	1.0297
Wakefield Care and Rehab	Wakefield	330.31	1.5973
Good Samaritan Society-Valley Vista	Wamego	294.12	1.1587
Wathena Healthcare and Rehab Center	Wathena	336.51	1.6935
Botkin Care and Rehab	Wellington	253.82	1.2749
Sumner Operator, LLC	Wellington	265.87	1.2939
Wellsville Manor	Wellsville	273.07	1.3356
Westy Community Care Home	Westmoreland	265.79	1.0369
Wheat State Manor	Whitewater	309.92	1.2021
Advena Living on Woodlawn	Wichita	235.33	0.9788
Ascension Living Via Christi Village	Wichita	340.67	1.2874
Ascension Living Via Christi Village	Wichita	344.82	1.4145
Avita Health & Rehab of Reeds Cove	Wichita	333.21	1.3027
Azria Health Wichita	Wichita	372.31	1.5389
Caritas Center	Wichita	314.21	0.9342
Excel Healthcare and Rehab Wichita	Wichita	303.72	1.2486
Family Health & Rehabilitation Center	Wichita	368.75	1.3597
Homestead Health Center, Inc.	Wichita	361.85	1.4903
Lakepoint Wichita LLC	Wichita	322.30	1.3375
Legacy at College Hill	Wichita	276.94	1.3771
Life Care Center of Wichita	Wichita	308.30	1.3386
Lincoln Care and Rehab	Wichita	290.19	1.3404

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

Facility Name	City	Daily Rate	Medicaid CMI
Medicalodges Wichita	Wichita	317.34	1.2005
Meridian Rehab and Health Care Center	Wichita	250.25	1.2561
Mount St Mary	Wichita	352.87	1.2157
Regent Park Rehab and Healthcare	Wichita	341.56	1.1921
Sandpiper Healthcare and Rehab Center	Wichita	283.62	1.6032
Seville Operator, LLC	Wichita	329.28	1.6869
The Health Care Center at Larksfield Pl	Wichita	394.04	1.5850
Wichita Presbyterian Manor	Wichita	369.18	1.5700
Wilson Care and Rehab	Wilson	322.21	1.4782
F W Huston Medical Center	Winchester	221.90	1.1468
Cumbernauld Village, Inc.	Winfield	340.81	1.3298
Kansas Veterans' Home	Winfield	367.44	1.3543
Winfield Rest Haven II LLC	Winfield	331.96	1.2545
Winfield Senior Living Community	Winfield	312.56	2.1826
Yates Operator, LLC	Yates Center	259.78	1.3279

III. Justifications for the Rates

1. The revised final rates are calculated according to the rate-setting methodology in the Kansas Medicaid State Plan and pending amendments thereto.
2. The revised final rates are calculated according to a methodology which satisfies the requirements of K.S.A. 39-708c(x) and the DHCF regulations in K.A.R. Article 129-10 implementing that statute and applicable federal law.
3. The State's analyses project that the rates:
 - a. Would result in payment, in the aggregate of 105.74% of the Medicaid day weighted average inflated allowable nursing facility costs statewide; and
 - b. Would result in a maximum allowable rate of \$344.96 (for a CMI of 1.2921); with the total average allowable cost being \$294.32.
 - c. Average Payment rate July 1, 2025-\$304.47
 - d. Average payment rate July 1, 2024-\$288.27
Amount of change -\$16.20
Percent of change-5.62%
4. Estimated annual aggregate expenditures in the Medicaid nursing facility services payment program will increase approximately \$42.2 million.*
5. The state estimates that the rates will continue to make quality care and services available under the Medicaid State Plan at least to the extent that care and services are available to the general population in the geographic area. The state's analyses indicate:
 - a. Service providers operating a total of 293 nursing facilities and hospital-based long-term care units (representing 96.07% of all the licensed nursing facilities and long-term care units in Kansas) participate in the Medicaid program;
 - b. There is at least one Medicaid-certified nursing facility and/or nursing facility for mental health, or Medicaid-certified hospital-based long-term care unit in 95 of the 105 counties in Kansas;
 - c. The statewide average occupancy rate for nursing facilities participating in Medicaid is 81.54%;
 - d. The statewide average Medicaid occupancy rate for participating facilities is 60.82%; and
 - e. The rates would cover 104.01%** of the estimated Medicaid direct health care costs incurred by

participating nursing facilities statewide.

6. Federal Medicaid regulations at 42 C.F.R. 447.272 impose an aggregate upper payment limit that states may pay for Medicaid nursing facility services. The state's analysis indicates that the methodology will result in compliance with the federal regulation.

*Includes Medicaid Add-On; see A.2.

**Includes Long Term Care Rapid Response Staffing Support Center grant.

7. The Federal fiscal impact for Fee for Service is as follows:

Fee-For-Service Only	Estimated Federal Financial Participation
FFY 2025 (July-Sept 2025)	\$729,292
FFY 2026 (Oct-June)	\$630,716

IV. Response to Comments Received

The state did not receive formal comments to its Proposed Nursing Facility rates published on April 10, 2025 in the Kansas Register. The review of this final notice ends on July 12, 2025.

V. Notice of Intent to Amend the Medicaid State Plan

The state intends to submit Medicaid State Plan amendments to CMS on or before September 30, 2025.

Laura Howard
Secretary
Department for Aging and Disability Services

Christine Osterlund
Medicaid Director
Deputy Secretary for Agency Integration and Medicaid
Division of Health Care Finance
Department of Health and Environment

State of Kansas

Department of Transportation

Request for Proposals

KA-6022-01 Proposed Right of Way Writing Legal Descriptions Services

Notice to Consulting Firms

The Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT) is seeking a qualified consulting firm or team of firms to perform professional services for the project(s) described in Table 1 below. Interested consultants must submit a proposal as laid out herein by 12:00 p.m. (Central Time) June 25, 2025, to be considered for selection.

Consultant Prequalification

Interested consulting firms must be prequalified by KDOT or otherwise demonstrate qualification in the following category(s):

- 301 - Land Surveying
- 302 - Engineering Surveying

Right of Way Services former category 336, is no longer a prequalified category and not listed in the new blue book, this project however does require those services. Here are the qualifications that must be demonstrated to be considered qualified.

Right of Way Services

Development of the existing highway right of way features and preparation of the proposed highway right of way. The Bureau of Right of Way will assist in the activities between the consultant and the Bureau of Design to expedite the consultant's development of the existing highway right features and preparation of the legal description for the proposed highway right of way. The consultant shall use the electronic data processing techniques in design and plan preparation and said data files shall be organized as described in KDOT's "Graphic Standards Manuals"; delivered Intergraph's CADD files in the most current version of Microstation; and conform with the standard practices as described in the "Bureau of Right of Way – Engineering Section Manual."

The Bureau of Right of Way will provide the consultant (if not turnkey) with the following items as they become available in the course of the project development: Field Survey notes; project plans; strip map with tracts enumerated; certificates of title; available graphic files in the form of an Intergraph CADD design file in the current version of Microstation; and at the proper time, coordination with the Bureau of Design for establishing final right of way.

Qualifications

The consultant must be staffed with a licensed land surveyor from the State of Kansas who is directly responsible for the services.

Firms will need to present their qualifications for this category on the two-page KDOT Consultant Qualification Form.

For the listed blue book categories, if a firm is not currently prequalified by KDOT in any of the required categories, a proposal may still be submitted. Firms not prequalified must also provide documentation that demonstrates the firm is qualified for each specified category listed in this solicitation for the project. Firms must use the KDOT prequalification form to provide this documentation. KDOT 1050 Prequalification Category Definitions (Blue Book) can be found at <https://www.ksdot.gov/doing-business/design-consultants/design-consultant-prequalification>. Consultants may create a team to meet the prequalification requirements. All firms doing business with KDOT must be registered and in good standing under the laws of the State of Kansas at the time of contracting and must comply with applicable state and federal laws, rules, and regulations.

Background and Scope of Projects

KDOT is requesting consulting services for proposed right of way writing legal descriptions for project US-56 Reconstruction in Barton County. East city limits of Great Bend (Kiowa Road) to K-156/US-56/K-96 Junction.

Anticipated Consultant Scope

Right of Way Services.

KDOT anticipates the following to be included in the consultant's scope:

The consultant will be working with the Bureau of Right of Way (BROW) for the proposed right of way for approximately 29 tracts associated with this project. Writing legal descriptions and all documents required for proposed right of way. The consultant will be developing the proposed right of way per KDOT standards of the Bureau of Right of Way (BROW) policies and manuals. Deliverables include marked-up plans for final right of way corrections, detailed documentation outlining the method for establishing the proposed right of way station offset report, exhibits for each tract/parcel, legal description for right of way and easements for each tract/parcel with detailing/method for establishing the parcel, a closure report with each legal for each parcel based on bearings/distances from the description. The final strip map in .DGN, PDF, and .KMZ format with proposed tracts and parcels and a staking package. Length (mi) 2.290.

Table 1: Summary of Project Information

County	RT-CO	Project #	Project Type	Tracts	BROW Letting-18MO	KDOT Letting Date
Barton	056-005	KA-6022-01	Reconstruct US-56 to a 4-Lane Expressway	29	To be determined	November 18, 2026

The scope included herein may not be all-inclusive. A scoping meeting will take place after consultant selection is made.

Anticipated Schedule and Key Dates

1. Proposals are due by or before 12:00 p.m. (Central Time) June 25, 2025.
2. Ranking of proposals is expected to occur on or around June 27, 2025. Negotiations with the most highly ranked firm are expected to begin on or around July 11, 2025. An executed agreement is anticipated around July 25, 2025.
3. The program fiscal year for this project is FY2026 (July 2025–June 2026).
4. Official Right of Way Plans: December 5, 2025
5. Due to Appraisal: September 1, 2025 (This is an approximate date)

Instructions for Proposal

1. No cost or pricing information shall be submitted with the proposal. Proposals including cost or pricing information will be considered non-responsive and withdrawn from further consideration.
2. The consultant's proposal must not exceed the page limit laid out in Table 2 below (including any cover letter, index, etc.). Page limit includes the requested Consultant Qualification Form for removed category(s). All pages shall be standard letter size (8.5" x 11"). Any page larger than standard letter size will be counted as two or more pages depending on size.
3. A single PDF (10 MB maximum size) of the proposal must be uploaded to the appropriate bid form on Bid Express by the proposal due date and time.
4. The proposal PDF file name must read:
 - a. "KA-6022-01_Proposed Right of Way Writing Legal Descriptions Services FIRM NAME"
5. The proposal must be accompanied by Special Attachments No. 8 ("Tax Clearance Certificate"), No. 10 ("Policy Regarding Sexual Harassment"), and No. 12 ("Certification of Company Not Currently Engaged in

the Procurement or Obtainment of Certain Equipment, Services, or Systems”). If you need a Tax Clearance Certificate, you can request one at <https://www.ksrevenue.gov/taxclearance.html>. Allow 2-3 business days for processing. Both attachments are required for every firm involved in a multi-consultant team. Upload these files as instructed in Bid Express.

6. The outline in Table 2 below describes the expected proposal organization and content sections.
7. Depending on the number and quality of responses received, KDOT anticipates shortlisting (based on proposals) and holding interviews prior to final selection (which may be based on both proposal and interview content). If KDOT deems interviews to be necessary, interview details and requirements and evaluation criteria for the interview will be distributed to shortlisted consultant teams in advance.
8. Table 3 lists the evaluation criteria and associated weights which will be used to shortlist respondents and may be considered in final selection.

Table 2: Proposal Content

Section	Description of Intent	Page Limit
Cover Letter		1 Page
Qualification Form for Removed Category(s)	Fill out the “Consultant Qualification Form” for the indicated removed category(s) and submit with your proposal. Description of category indicated on page 1 of Request for Proposals.	2 Pages
Project Approach	Demonstrate a unique approach to accomplish the design efficiently and to a high standard. Include cost-effective and optimized solutions to address the anticipated improvements in the design. Include unique qualifications or experience related to the project approach.	2 Pages
Approach to Schedule	Describe the approach to accomplish the scope of services within the schedule requirements. Include anticipated key milestone dates and availability of staff.	
Qualifications and Experience	For key personnel to be assigned to the project provide names, office location, qualifications, education, training, and expertise. Identify their area(s) of responsibility and percent of their time dedicated to the project. List work for which you do not have in-house capability and name the firm you propose to subcontract with.	
Familiarity with KDOT and Project Area	Describe team’s familiarity with KDOT’s design process and standards. Describe familiarity with the project area and any identified special site conditions.	1 Page total
Past Performance	Describe team’s past performance with respect to ability to meet project schedules; quality of work; and ability to control costs on similar transportation projects, especially those performed for KDOT. Include three references and contact information.	
Approach to Quality Control	Describe methods or procedures your firm will use to provide all drawings, reports and other services with professional quality and technical accuracy.	

Table 3: Evaluation Factors

Evaluation Factor	Weight
Qualifications and experience of project manager and other key project team members proposed for services	5%
Project approach	5%
Approach and commitment to meet advertised schedule	60%
Past performance history for similar projects/services for KDOT	20%
Availability to respond to the work	5%
Understanding of KDOT CAD standards and expectations for deliverables	5%

Contract Terms and Conditions

A standard KDOT agreement for engineering and technical services will be used for professional services projects. The following special attachments will need to be provided by the selected consultant and all subconsultants with the signed work order following negotiations and will become attachments to the contract:

- Special Attachment No. 8 (“Tax Clearance Certificate”)
- Special Attachment No. 10 (“Policy Regarding Sexual Harassment”)
- Special Attachment No. 12 (“Certification of Company Not Currently Engaged in the Procurement or Obtainment of Certain Equipment, Services, or Systems”)

Special Contract Conditions

Weekly status report required.

The selected firm will be asked to enter scope and fee negotiations with KDOT for an agreement. In the event KDOT cannot reach an agreement with the highest-ranked firm, it will terminate negotiations with such firm and commence negotiations with the next highest-ranked firm, and so on, until either agreement is reached for a satisfactory scope of services for a fair and reasonable price, or KDOT decides to pursue other alternatives.

Questions

All questions regarding this Request for Proposals shall be submitted via Q&A section of bid form in Bid Express.

Questions can be submitted until June 12, 2025; answers will be provided to all prequalified consultants on June 19, 2025.

Calvin Reed
Secretary
Department of Transportation

State of Kansas

Department of Transportation

Request for Proposals

106 EN-3714-26 and 106 EN-3714-27 – Senior Bridge Design Lead – Embedded Engineer(s)

Notice to Consulting Firms

The Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT) is seeking a qualified consulting firm to perform professional services for the project described below. Interested consultants must submit a proposal as laid out herein by 12:00 p.m. (Central Time) June 25, 2025, to be considered for selection.

Consultant Prequalification

Interested consulting firms must be prequalified by KDOT or otherwise demonstrate qualification in the following category(s):

- 221 - Non-Standard Span Bridge Design (Complex Structural Design)
- 222 - Standard Span Bridge Design (Structural Design)

If a firm is not currently prequalified by KDOT in any of the required categories, a proposal may still be submitted. Firms not prequalified must also provide documentation that demonstrates the firm is qualified for each specified category listed in this solicitation for the project. Firms must use the KDOT prequalification form to provide this documentation. KDOT 1050 Prequalification Category Definitions (Blue Book) can be found at <https://www.ksdot.gov/doing-business/design-consultants/design-consultant-prequalification>. All firms doing business with KDOT must be registered and in good standing under the laws of the State of Kansas at the time of contracting and must comply with applicable state and federal laws, rules, and regulations.

Background and Scope of Project

The KDOT Bureau of Structures and Geotechnical Services (BSGS) is seeking assistance in managing bridge replacement and rehabilitation projects under a two-year contract, with the option to renew up to two, one-year terms. An engineer from the selected firm will be located in the KDOT Eisenhower Building for the beginning of the contract with the option to reduce time in the office to once every two weeks.

Anticipated Consultant Scope

KDOT anticipates the following to be included in the consultant's scope:

The firm selected will assist KDOT BSGS in reviewing plan sets during all pre-letting milestones, attending field checks, submitting investigation requests, responding to requests for information during advertising, managing post-letting submittals, and answering construction inquiries from contractors and KDOT staff. The consultant will also be responsible for maintaining plan production schedules and archiving project documents in ProjectWise. The selected firm will need to be proficient in managing multiple projects at different stages of production. The consultant will provide the equivalent of one full-time engineer.

Anticipated Schedule and Key Dates

1. Proposals are due by or before 12:00 p.m. (Central Time) June 25, 2025.
2. Ranking of proposals is expected to occur on or around June 27, 2025. Negotiations with the most highly ranked firm are expected to begin on or around July 11, 2025. An executed agreement is anticipated around July 25, 2025.
3. The program fiscal year for this project is FY2026 through FY2027. Projects managed will range from FY2024 through FY2031

Instructions for Proposal

1. No cost or pricing information shall be submitted with the proposal. Proposals including cost or pricing information will be considered non-responsive and withdrawn from further consideration.
2. The consultant’s proposal must not exceed 5 pages total (including any cover letter, index, etc.). All pages shall be standard letter size (8.5” x 11”). Any page larger than standard letter size will be counted as two or more pages depending on size.
3. A single PDF (10 MB maximum size) of the proposal must be uploaded to the appropriate bid form on Bid Express by the proposal due date and time.
4. The subject line of the email and the PDF file name must read:
 - a. “EN-3714-26&-27_Senior Bridge Design Lead_FIRM NAME”
5. The proposal must be accompanied by Special Attachments No. 8 (“Tax Clearance Certificate”), No. 10 (“Policy Regarding Sexual Harassment”), and No. 12 (“Certification of Company Not Currently Engaged in the Procurement or Obtainment of Certain Equipment, Services, or Systems”). If you need a Tax Clearance Certificate, you can request one at <https://www.ksrevenue.gov/taxclearance.html>. Allow 2-3 business days for processing. Both attachments are required for every firm involved in a multi-consultant team. Upload these files as instructed in Bid Express.
6. The outline in Table 1 below describes the expected proposal organization and content sections.
7. Depending on the number and quality of responses received, KDOT anticipates shortlisting (based on proposals) and may hold interviews prior to final selection (which may be based on both proposal and interview content). If KDOT deems interviews to be necessary, interview details and requirements and evaluation criteria for the interview will be distributed to shortlisted consultant teams in advance.
8. Table 2 lists the evaluation criteria and associated weights which will be used to make a selection.

The scope included herein may not be all-inclusive. A scoping meeting will take place after consultant selection is made.

Table 1: Proposal Content

Section	Description of Intent	Page Limit
Cover Letter		1 Page
Approach to Project Schedules	Describe the approach to accomplish the scope of services within the schedule requirements. Include availability of staff.	2 Pages
Approach to Quality Control	Describe methods or procedures your firm will use to provide all reviews and other services with professional quality, technical accuracy, and consistency.	
Qualifications and Experience	For key personnel to be assigned to the project provide names, office location, qualifications, education, training, and expertise. Identify their area(s) of responsibility and percent of their time dedicated to the project.	2 Pages
Past Performance	Describe team’s past performance with respect to ability to meet and maintain project schedules; quality of work; and ability to control costs on similar transportation projects, especially those performed for KDOT. Include three references and contact information.	
Familiarity with KDOT	Describe team’s familiarity with KDOT’s design process and standards.	

Table 2: Evaluation Factors

Evaluation Factor	Weight
The qualifications and experience of consultant design personnel/manager proposed for services	20%
Approach and commitment to meet individual advertised project schedules	15%
Past performance history for similar projects/services for KDOT	20%
Availability to respond to the work	10%
Approach to quality control	20%
The quality and completeness of the response	5%
Understanding of KDOT Technical Policies	10%

Contract Terms and Conditions

A standard KDOT agreement for engineering and technical services will be used for professional services projects. The following special attachments will need to be provided by the selected consultant with the signed work order following negotiations and will become attachments to the contract:

- Special Attachment No. 8 (“Tax Clearance Certificate”)
- Special Attachment No. 10 (“Policy Regarding Sexual Harassment”)
- Special Attachment No. 12 (“Certification of Company Not Currently Engaged in the Procurement or Obtainment of Certain Equipment, Services, or Systems”)

Questions

All questions regarding this Request for Proposals shall be submitted via Q&A section of bid form in Bid Express.

Questions can be submitted until June 12, 2025; answers will be provided to all prequalified consultants on June 19, 2025.

Calvin Reed
Secretary
Department of Transportation

State of Kansas

Department of Transportation

Request for Proposals

AV-0021-21- NPIAS Airport Inspections Statewide

Notice to Consulting Firms

The Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT) is seeking a qualified consulting firm or team of firms to perform professional services for the project(s) described in Table 1 below. Interested consultants must submit a proposal as laid out herein by 12:00 p.m. (Central Time) June 25, 2025, to be considered for selection.

Consultant Prequalification

Aviation Planning, former category 121, is no longer a prequalified category and not listed in the new blue book, this project however does require this service. Here are the qualifications that must be demonstrated to be considered qualified.

Aviation Systems/Airport Planning

Scope

Projects include preparation of statewide or regional aviation system plans, and preparation of airport master plans.

Systems Planning

Study the impact of aviation to the community, region and/or state. Such studies may include short (five years) and long (twenty years) term planning horizons. Topics may include analysis and forecasting of aircraft basing demand, airport capacity, commercial aviation demand, as well as intermodal and multimodal connectivity. Studies may also include environmental impact analysis, appropriate state-level organization and resources for supporting aviation, assessment of airport capital improvement needs, and analysis of the adequacy of commercial air service and general aviation support facilities.

Airport Planning

Master plans may include air side and land side facilities planning and design, cargo facilities planning, airspace analysis, economic analysis, aviation forecasting, organization of public programming, ground transportation studies, parking and roadway analysis, financial planning, and land use planning. The consultant may also be required to assist in the federal grant process for the project.

Qualifications

The consultant must be staffed with a PE licensed in Kansas or an AICP.

The consultant is required to have demonstrated experience and capability in performing all aspects of the project, such as planning, economic and financial analysis, engineering, and environmental evaluations. The consultant must have knowledge of Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) programs, policies, regulations, and procedures.

Firms will need to present their qualifications for this category on the KDOT Consultant Qualification Form.

Consultants may create a team to meet the prequalification requirements. All firms doing business with KDOT must be registered and in good standing under the laws of the State of Kansas at the time of contracting and must comply with applicable state and federal laws, rules, and regulations.

Table 1: Background and Scope of Project

Project Number	Background and Scope of Project
AV-0021-21	<p>In 2012, a statewide airport pavement management program (PMP) was developed to, among other things, assist individual airports in tracking, maintaining and budgeting funds for planning purposes over five years. This plan now needs to be updated. The time horizon for this PMP update will, however, be three years rather than five years.</p> <p>The project will encompass airports statewide subject to receipt of federal funding from the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) under the FAA's Airport Improvement Program (AIP). See Appendix 1 to this RFP, NPIAS Locations.</p>

Table 2: Project Summary

Project Number	General Summary
AV-0021-21	<p>The project is anticipated to be split into three consecutive 1-year phases with first year starting from the issuance of a Notice to Proceed. The services performed by consultant include on-site inspection/evaluation of pavement, completion of pavement condition index (PCI) surveys and PMP revisions/updates to reflect actual current conditions and to address how the airfield pavement may be maintained or upgraded to acceptable PCI levels. The final deliverables are an updated PMP tailored for each airport, which will be shared with each airport sponsor for their use to develop airport capital improvement plans.</p>

Anticipated Consultant Scope

Current expectations for consultant scope are detailed below for AV-0021-21 – NPIAS Airport Inspections. (Note: The scope included herein may not be all-inclusive. A scoping meeting will take place after consultant selection is made).

- Summary: The project consists of compiling data, performing pavement condition index (PCI) surveys, and preparing a pavement management plan (PMP) for specified public-use airports identified in the National Plan of Integrated Airport System (NPIAS) throughout Kansas:
 - Project locations will include all public-use NPIAS airports in Kansas, excluding:
 - Wichita Dwight D. Eisenhower National Airport (ICT).
 - Colonel James Jabara Airport (AAO).
 - Project will include three (3) phases of survey and reporting – each phase will be associated with a corresponding tranche of facilities as specified in [Appendix 1]. For reference – the phases will be comprised of project locations categorized by KDOT Districts. Additional details included within Appendix 1:
 - Phase 1: Districts [1–Northeast Kansas] and [2–North Central Kansas].
 - Phase 2: Districts [4 – Southeast Kansas] and [5 – South Central Kansas].
 - Phase 3: Districts [3–Northwest Kansas] and [6 – Southwest Kansas].
 - Analysis of each airport will include all active airfield pavements similar in magnitude and density to the most recent study, and any equivalent segments constructed since the previous study.
- Inventory Analysis:
 - Identify branches and sections for each airport based on previous projects and available construction history. Update inventory to include segments constructed since last study.
 - Using existing study framework and new additions, develop an inspection layout with sample and inspection areas identified.

- Develop MicroPAVER (".e70") database for each inspected airport – or update sufficient existing database if available.
- Develop and export inspection file (".i70") from MicroPAVER.
- Perform quality control of (".i70") file by loading onto a tablet.
- Pavement Inspections:
 - In collaboration with KDOT Aviation – determine appropriate transfer pipeline and technical specifications for site inspection data and other related outputs.
 - Format inspection outputs to integrate with established reporting and visualization methods utilized by KDOT Aviation to access, review and display inspection outputs.
 - Coordinate field survey activities at each airport.
 - Perform pavement inspections and strength analyses in accordance with applicable ASTM and FAA guidelines, including FAA AC 150/5335-5.
 - Inspection teams shall acquire photos of identified distress/severity combinations within surveyed sample areas. Categorized by site, brand, section, and other relevant locators.
 - Quality control review of survey data collection and output shall be conducted after each survey by consultant personnel.
- Data framework and formatting:
 - Format the output inspection data to align with existing KDOT Aviation dashboards and databases. Specific framework will account for:
 - Available data points–(i.e., PCI, Pavement Strength, and Capital Project Needs).
 - Data Organization (Filters, Site layout, and distress data).
 - Work History (Integrating prior project information).
 - Compatible file types.
- Other Analysis and Reports:
 - General expectation – for each report, the [contractor] will engage in a procedural review process, including:
 - Submit draft reports to KDOT Aviation and the FAA for review and comment. For individual facility reports – include the facility for comment. [The comment period will last a maximum of 1 month].
 - Incorporate provided feedback into revised drafts.
 - Submit Final Revised reports to KDOT Aviation, FAA, and individual facilities as appropriate.
 - Draft individual 'Report and Pavement Improvement Plan' for each NPIAS airport. The reports shall contain the following sections/content:
 - Executive Summary.
 - Introduction – Including data(s) of on-site inspections and general airport details.
 - Pavement Inventory – Includes pavement type and structure (where available) along with primary distresses found.
 - Pavement Condition – Summary of current and historical Pavement Condition Index (PCI).
 - Additional Analysis – any additional inspection data or analysis not previously addressed in earlier sections, as appropriate.
 - Proposed Capital Improvement Plan – specifically regarding recommend pavement improvements for the following three (3) years. Categorize recommendations by branch and section.
 - Draft Statewide – Executive Summary deliverable. Report will synthesize overall the pavement condition within the state and include the following components:
 - Introduction – including dates of inspection and general inspection details.
 - Pavement inventory – Provide state-wide statistics on pavement usage, pavement types, and pavement distresses.
 - Pavement Condition – provide a state-wide PCI value based on the average values calculated at each airport throughout the state.
 - Proposed Capital Improvement Plan – State-wide budgetary numbers for the next 3 years as a cumulative number of each individual airport.

- Recommendations – Recommended action plan based on inspections, distresses, and PCI.
- Draft Statewide – Comprehensive Report deliverable. This narrative report will expand on items included within the ‘Statewide – Executive Summary’ deliverable, including:
 - Additional inspection data, analyses, and recommendations.
 - Analyzed MicroPAVER data to determine appropriate pavement project(s) for each airport based on current pavement conditions.
 - Organize airport recommendations by project phase.
 - Estimate the extent to which each recommendation will impact each airport’s PCI metric, including a cumulative estimate for total potential improvement.
 - Develop recommendations for statewide capital improvement scenarios – suggesting critical needs, projected scope, and estimated total costs. Recommendations will include estimates of statewide PCI metrics at various potential state funding scenarios over a 3-year period:
 - Zero funding – baseline estimate based on zero (0) state funding of capital improvements.
 - Realistic Funding – funding in line with historical precedent.
 - Maintenance – minimum requirements to maintain current statewide PCI score.
 - Optimal – minimum funding required to reasonably ensure every facility meets or exceeds a PCI score of [TBD] (tied to previous 65 score, or other ASTM Standard).
- Summarized Schedule (Approx. Duration – 40 months):
 - Notice to Proceed (NTP) provided to consultant by the FAA/KDOT Aviation.
 - Conduct initial project kickoff between consultant, KDOT Aviation, and the FAA.
 - Clarify any ambiguity or uncertainty regard the proposed project timeline and expectations.
 - Commence Phase 1 (approx. duration–12-months):
 - Conduct survey/inspections of Phase 1 facilities.
 - Perform requested analyses of inspected facilities.
 - Submit analyses as facility specific ‘Report and Pavement Management Plan’ deliverables.
 - KDOT Aviation and FAA will review submitted deliverables and provide comments/feedback to consultant.
 - Incorporates provided feedback into final reports.
 - Phase 1 complete.
 - Repeat step 4 and indicated sub-steps for Phase 2 facilities.
 - Repeat step 4 and indicated sub-steps for Phase 3 facilities.
 - Compile output data from Phase 1-3 into a comprehensive state-wide analysis.
 - Analysis will consist of the ‘State – Executive Summary’ and ‘Statewide – Comprehensive Report’ deliverables
 - KDOT Aviation and FAA review deliverables and provide feedback.
 - Incorporate feedback into submission of deliverables to KDOT Aviation and FAA.
 - Project Complete.

Note: A full breakdown of anticipated project schedule available as [Appendix 2]

Deliverables Summary

As a general expectation, deliverables are to be reviewed by [contractor] for quality control assurances regarding accuracy and completeness. Identified inaccuracies, information gaps, or unexplained delays in deliverables will be the responsibility of [contractor] to rectify.

- Primary Deliverables:
 - MicroPAVER database and inspection files for each public-use NPIAS airport within Kansas.
 - Photos of distress and severity combination identified throughout site inspection.
 - Individual Facility – Report and Pavement Management Plan.
 - Statewide – Executive Summary.
 - Statewide – Comprehensive Report.

Anticipated Schedule and Key Dates

1. Proposals are due by or before 12:00 p.m. (Central Time) June 25, 2025.
2. Ranking of proposals is expected to occur on or around June 27, 2025. Negotiations with the most highly ranked firm are expected to begin on or around July 11, 2025. Negotiations will proceed quickly through scoping, manhour/fee negotiation, and NTP. In the event KDOT cannot reach agreement with the selected firm, it will terminate negotiations and commence negotiations with the next highest ranked firm, and so on, until either agreement is reached for a satisfactory scope of services for a fair and reasonable price, or KDOT decides to pursue other alternatives. An executed agreement is anticipated around July 25, 2025.
3. See Appendix 2 for detailed project schedule.

Instructions for Proposal

1. No cost or pricing information shall be submitted with the proposal. Proposals including cost or pricing information will be considered non-responsive and withdrawn from further consideration.
2. The consultant's proposal must not exceed the page limit laid out in Table 3 below (including any cover letter, index, etc.). Page limit includes the requested Consultant Qualification Form for removed category(s). All pages shall be standard letter size (8.5" x 11"). Any page larger than standard letter size will be counted as two or more pages depending on size.
3. A single PDF (10MB maximum size) of the proposal including all attachments must be uploaded to the appropriate bid form on Bid Express by the proposal due date and time.
4. The PDF file name must read:
 - a. "AV-0021-20-NPIAS Airport Inspections FIRM NAME"
5. The proposal must be accompanied by Special Attachments No. 8 ("Tax Clearance Certificate"), No. 10 ("Policy Regarding Sexual Harassment"), and No. 12 ("Certification of Company Not Currently Engaged in the Procurement or Obtainment of Certain Equipment, Services, or Systems"). If you need a Tax Clearance Certificate, you can request one at <https://www.ksrevenue.gov/taxclearance.html>. Allow 2-3 business days for processing. All attachments are required for every firm involved in a multi-consultant team. Upload these files as instructed in Bid Express.
6. The outline in Table 3 below describes the expected proposal organization and content sections.
7. Table 4 lists the evaluation criteria and associated weights which will be used to shortlist respondents and may be considered in final selection.
8. Depending on the number of responses received, KDOT may elect to shortlist (based on proposals) to no more than 3-5 firms and hold in-person interviews prior to final selection (which may be based on both proposal and interview content). If KDOT deems them necessary, interview evaluation criteria will be distributed to shortlisted consultant teams in advance.

Table 3: Proposal Content

Section	Description of Intent	Page Limit
Cover Letter		1 Page
Qualification Form for Removed Category(s)	Fill out the "Consultant Qualification Form" for the indicated removed category(s) and submit with your proposal. Description of category indicated on page 1 of Request for Proposals.	2 Pages per each Removed Category

Section	Description of Intent	Page Limit
Project Approach	Demonstrate a unique approach to accomplish the inspection efficiently and to a high standard. Include cost-effective and optimized solutions to address the anticipated improvements in the design. Include unique qualifications or experience related to the project approach.	1 Page
Approach to Schedule	Describe the approach to accomplish the scope of services within the schedule requirements. Include anticipated key milestone dates and availability of staff.	
Approach to Quality Control	Describe methods or procedures your firm will use to provide all drawings, reports and other services with professional quality and technical accuracy.	
Qualifications and Experience	For key personnel to be assigned to the project provide names, office location, qualifications, education, training, and expertise. Identify their area(s) of responsibility and percent of their time dedicated to the project. List work for which you do not have in-house capability and name the firm you propose to subcontract with.	2 Pages
Past Performance	Describe team's past performance with respect to ability to meet project schedules; quality of work; and ability to control costs on similar transportation projects, especially those performed for KDOT. Include three references and contact information.	
Familiarity with KDOT and Project Area	Describe team's familiarity with KDOT's design process and standards. Describe familiarity with the project area and any identified special site conditions.	

Table 4: Evaluation Factors

Evaluation Factor	Weight
Qualifications and experience of project manager and other key project team members proposed for services	25%
Project approach	10%
Approach and commitment to meet advertised schedule	20%
Past performance history for similar projects/services for KDOT	15%
Innovation/Creativity in solutions	15%
Availability to respond to the work	10%
Unique qualifications	5%

Contract Terms and Conditions

A standard KDOT agreement for engineering and technical services will be used for the professional services project. The following special attachments will need to be provided by the selected consultant and all subconsultants with the signed work order following negotiations and will become attachments to the contract:

- Special Attachment No. 8 ("Tax Clearance Certificate")
- Special Attachment No. 10 ("Policy Regarding Sexual Harassment")

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

- Special Attachment No. 12 (“Certification of Company Not Currently Engaged in the Procurement or Obtainment of Certain Equipment, Services, or Systems”)

Contract Conditions

These projects will be managed by KDOT Aviation Project Manager.

Questions

All questions regarding this Request for Proposals shall be submitted via the Q&A section of bid form in Bid Express.

Questions can be submitted until June 12, 2025; answers will be provided to all prequalified consultants on June 19, 2025.

Appendix to this Request for Proposals

- Appendix 1: Lists locations of Phase 1 thru 3.
- Appendix 2: Lists a full breakdown of anticipated project schedule available.

Appendix 1 - Locations

Phase 1		Phase 2		Phase 3	
District 1 – Northeast Kansas	District 2 – North Central Kansas	District 4 – Southeast Kansas	District 5 – South Central Kansas	District 3 – Northwest Kansas	District 6 – Southwest Kansas
Atchison	Chase	Allen	Barber	Cheyenne	Clark
Brown	Clay	Anderson	Barton	Decatur	Finney
Doniphan	Cloud	Bourbon	Butler	Ellis	Ford
Douglas	Dickinson	Chautauqua	Comanche	Gove	Grant
Jackson	Ellsworth	Cherokee	Cowley	Graham	Gray
Jefferson	Geary	Coffey	Edwards	Logan	Greeley
Johnson	Jewell	Crawford	Harper	Norton	Hamilton
Leavenworth	Lincoln	Elk	Harvey	Osborne	Haskell
Lyon	Marion	Franklin	Kingman	Phillips	Hodgeman
Marshall	McPherson	Greenwood	Kiowa	Rawlins	Kearny
Nemaha	Mitchell	Labette	Pawnee	Rooks	Lane
Osage	Morris	Linn	Pratt	Russell	Meade
Pottawatomie	Ottawa	Miami	Reno	Sheridan	Morton
Riley	Republic	Montgomery	Rice	Sherman	Ness
Shawnee	Saline	Neosho	Rush	Smith	Scott
Wabaunsee	Washington	Wilson	Sedgwick	Thomas	Seward
Wyandotte		Woodson	Stafford	Trego	Stanton
			Sumner	Wallace	Stevens
					Wichita

Appendix 2 - Schedule

Step	Time Elapsed	Primary Task
0	N/A	Notice to Proceed (NTP) provided by the FAA.
1	2 weeks	Conduct kickoff meeting between project stakeholders (contractor, KDOT Aviation, and FAA)
2	3-4 weeks	Remaining uncertainty regarding project scope or expected deliverables clarified.
3	1 month	Phase 1 (P1) Commences
3.1	8 months	P1 Survey and Data Collection Complete
3.2	9 months	P1 Analysis and Report submitted for review
3.3	10 months	P1 Review Period complete–feedback returned
3.4	11 months	P1 Final Reports submitted
3.5	11.5 months	P1 Complete
4	12 months	Phase 2 (P2) Commences
4.1	20 months	P2 Survey and Data Collection Complete
4.2	21 months	P2 Analysis and Report submitted for review
4.3	22 months	P2 Review Period complete–feedback returned
4.4	23 months	P2 Final Reports submitted
4.5	23.5 months	P2 Complete
5	24 Months	Phase 3 (P3) Commences
5.1	32 months	P3 Survey and Data Collection Complete
5.2	33 months	P3 Analysis and Report submitted for review
5.3	34 months	P3 Review Period complete–feedback returned
5.4	35 months	P3 Final Reports submitted
5.5	35.5 months	P3 Complete
6	38 months	Statewide project report submitted for review
7	39 months	Review period complete
8	40 months	Final Report–including submitted feedback–completed.

Calvin Reed
Secretary
Department of Transportation

State of Kansas

Department of Transportation

Request for Proposals

AV-0021-20 – Non-NPIAS Airport Inspections Statewide

Notice to Consulting Firms

The Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT) is seeking a qualified consulting firm or team of firms to perform professional services for the project(s) described in Table 1 below. Interested consultants must submit a proposal as laid out herein by 12:00 p.m. (Central Time) June 25, 2025, to be considered for selection.

Consultant Prequalification

Aviation Planning, former category 121, is no longer prequalified, this project however does require this service. Here are the qualifications that must be demonstrated to be considered qualified.

Aviation Systems/Airport Planning

Scope

Projects include preparation of statewide or regional aviation system plans, and preparation of airport master plans.

Systems Planning

Study the impact of aviation to the community, region and/or state. Such studies may include short (five years) and long (twenty years) term planning horizons. Topics may include analysis and forecasting of aircraft basing demand, airport capacity, commercial aviation demand, as well as intermodal and multimodal connectivity. Studies may also include environmental impact analysis, appropriate state-level organization and resources for supporting aviation, assessment of airport capital improvement needs, and analysis of the adequacy of commercial air service and general aviation support facilities.

Airport Planning

Master plans may include air side and land side facilities planning and design, cargo facilities planning, airspace analysis, economic analysis, aviation forecasting, organization of public programming, ground transportation studies, parking and roadway analysis, financial planning, and land use planning. The consultant may also be required to assist in the federal grant process for the project.

Qualifications

The consultant must be staffed with a PE licensed in Kansas or an AICP.

The consultant is required to have demonstrated experience and capability in performing all aspects of the project, such as planning, economic and financial analysis, engineering, and environmental evaluations. The consultant must have knowledge of Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) programs, policies, regulations, and procedures.

Firms will need to present their qualifications for this category on KDOT Consultant Qualification Form.

Consultants may create a team to meet the prequalification requirements. All firms doing business with KDOT must be registered and in good standing under the laws of the State of Kansas at the time of contracting and must comply with applicable state and federal laws, rules, and regulations.

Table 1: Background and Scope of Project

Project Number	Background and Scope of Project
AV-0021-20	<p>In 2012, a statewide airport pavement management program (PMP) was developed to, among other things, assist individual airports in tracking, maintaining and budgeting funds for planning purposes over five years. This plan now needs to be updated. The time horizon for this PMP update will, however, be three years rather than five years.</p> <p>The project will encompass airports statewide. See Appendix 1 to this Request for Proposals, Non-NPIAS locations.</p>

Table 2: Project Summary

Project Number	General Summary
AV-0021-20	<p>The project is anticipated to be split into three consecutive 1-year phases with the first year starting from the issuance of a Notice to Proceed. The Services performed by consultant include on-site inspection/evaluation of pavement, completion of pavement condition index (PCI) surveys and PMP revisions/updates to reflect actual current conditions and to address how the airfield pavement may be maintained or upgraded to acceptable PCI levels. The final deliverables are an updated PMP tailored for each airport, which will be shared with each airport sponsor for their use to develop airport capital improvement plans.</p>

Anticipated Consultant Scope

Current expectations for consultant scope are detailed below for AV-0021-20 – Non- NPIAS Airport Inspections (Note: The scope included herein may not be all-inclusive. A scoping meeting will take place after consultant selection is made).

- Summary: The project consists of compiling data, performing pavement condition index (PCI) surveys, and preparing a pavement management plan (PMP) for specified public-use airports not including within the National Plan of Integrated Airport System (NPIAS) in Kansas, followed by a statewide report of the assessed facilities. Please note that a separate request for proposals is soliciting for a similar project conducted for public use NPIAS facilities. The two are separate projects.
 - Project locations will include all public use Non-NPIAS airports in Kansas.
 - Project will include three (3) phases of survey and reporting – each phase will be associated with a corresponding tranche of facilities as specified in [Appendix 1] and more detailed documents attached to the Bid Express Request for Proposals page with more facility information.
 - Analysis of each airport will include all active airfield pavements similar in magnitude and density to the most recent study, and any equivalent segments constructed since the previous study.
- Project Administration:
 - Develop contracts and subcontracts.
 - Conduct Kickoff Meeting with KDOT Aviation.
 - Submit regular progress reports.
 - Prepare and submit monthly invoices.
- Data Compilation:
 - Prior Studies of relevant Non- NPIAS Airports:
 - KDOT Aviation shall provide prior study data—including MicroPAVER database files, individual airport reports, and other outputs.
 - Consultant will review the existing database for construction history records provided by Airports and

- KDOT Aviation, updating the records based on any construction projects that have occurred since previous inspection.
- KDOT Aviation shall provide for consultant review, a list of capital improvement projects funded by KDOT Aviation since prior inspections were completed.
 - Consultant shall update general definitions of output pavement management plans in accordance with best-practice rehabilitation methods and standardized cost estimates (at the time of analysis).
 - External Construction History:
 - Consultant shall obtain relevant construction history from studied facilities for capital improvement projects not funded by KDOT Aviation.
 - Inventory Analysis:
 - Identify branches and sections for each airport based on previous projects and available construction history. Update inventory to include segments constructed since last study.
 - Using existing study framework and new additions, develop an inspection layout with sample and inspection areas identified.
 - Develop MicroPAVER (".e70") database for each inspected airport – or update sufficient existing database if available.
 - Develop and export inspection file (".i70") from MicroPAVER.
 - Perform quality control of (".i70") file by loading onto a tablet.
 - Pavement Inspections:
 - In collaboration with KDOT Aviation – determine appropriate transfer pipeline and technical specifications for site inspection data and other related outputs.
 - Format inspection outputs to integrate with established reporting and visualization methods utilized by KDOT Aviation to access, review, and display inspection outputs.
 - Coordinate field survey activities at each airport.
 - Perform pavement inspections and strength analyses in accordance with applicable ASTM and FAA guidelines, including FAA AC 150/5335-5.
 - Inspection teams shall acquire photos of identified distress/severity combinations within surveyed sample areas. Categorized by site, brand, section, and other relevant locators.
 - Quality control review of survey data collection and output shall be conducted after each survey by consultant personnel.
 - Data framework and formatting:
 - Format the output inspection data to align with existing KDOT Aviation dashboards and databases. Specific framework will account for:
 - Available data points–(i.e., PCI, Pavement Strength, and Capital Project Needs).
 - Data Organization (Filters, Site layout, and distress data).
 - Work History (Integrating prior project information).
 - Compatible file types.
 - Other Analysis and Reports:
 - General expectation – for each report, the consultant will engage in a procedural review process, including:
 - Submit draft reports to KDOT Aviation. For individual facility reports – include the facility for comment. [The comment period will last a maximum of 1 month].
 - Incorporate provided feedback into revised drafts.
 - Submit Final Revised reports to KDOT Aviation and individual facilities as appropriate.
 - Draft individual Report and Pavement Improvement Plan for each Non- NPIAS airport. The reports shall contain the following sections/content:
 - Executive Summary.
 - Introduction – Including data(s) of on-site inspections and general airport details.
 - Pavement Inventory – Includes pavement type and structure (where available) along with primary distresses found.

- Pavement Condition – Summary of current and historical Pavement Condition Index (PCI).
- Additional Analysis – any additional inspection data or analysis not previously addressed in earlier sections, as appropriate.
- Proposed Capital Improvement Plan – specifically regarding recommend pavement improvements for the following three (3) years. Categorize recommendations by branch and section.
- Draft Statewide – Executive Summary deliverable. Report will synthesize overall the pavement condition within the state and include the following components:
 - Introduction – including dates of inspection and general inspection details.
 - Pavement inventory – Provide state-wide statistics on pavement usage, pavement types, and pavement distresses.
 - Pavement Condition – provide a state-wide PCI value based on the average values calculated at each airport throughout the state.
 - Proposed Capital Improvement Plan – State-wide budgetary numbers for the next 3 years as a cumulative number of each individual airport.
 - Recommendations – Recommended action plan based on inspections, distresses, and PCI.
- Draft Statewide – Comprehensive Report deliverable. This narrative report will expand on items included within the 'Statewide – Executive Summary' deliverable, including:
 - Additional inspection data, analyses, and recommendations.
 - Analyzed MicroPAVER data to determine appropriate pavement project(s) for each airport based on current pavement conditions:
 1. Organize airport recommendations by project phase.
 2. Estimate the extent to which each recommendation will impact each airport's PCI metric, including a cumulative estimate for total potential improvement.
 - Develop recommendations for statewide capital improvement scenarios – suggesting critical needs, projected scope, and estimated total costs. Recommendations will include estimates of statewide PCI metrics at various potential state funding scenarios over a 3-year period:
 1. Zero funding – baseline estimate based on zero (0) state funding of capital improvements.
 2. Realistic Funding – funding in line with historical precedent.
 3. Maintenance – minimum requirements to maintain current statewide PCI score.
 4. Optimal – minimum funding required to reasonably ensure every facility meets or exceeds a PCI score of [TBD] (tied to previous 65 score, or other ASTM Standard).
- Summarized Schedule (Approx. Duration – 40 months):
 - Notice to Proceed (NTP) provided to Consultant by KDOT Aviation.
 - Conduct initial project kickoff between Consultant and KDOT Aviation.
 - Clarify any ambiguity or uncertainty regard the proposed project timeline and expectations.
 - Commence Phase 1 (approx. duration–12-months):
 - Conduct survey/inspections of Phase 1 facilities.
 - Perform requested analyses of inspected facilities.
 - Submit analyses as facility specific 'Report and Pavement Management Plan' deliverables.
 - KDOT Aviation and FAA will review submitted deliverables and provide comments/feedback to consultant.
 - Incorporates provided feedback into final reports.
 - Phase 1 complete.
 - Repeat step 4 and indicated sub-steps for Phase 2 facilities.
 - Repeat step 4 and indicated sub-steps for Phase 3 facilities.
 - Compile output data from Phase 1-3 into a comprehensive state-wide analysis.
 - Analysis will consist of the 'State – Executive Summary' and 'Statewide – Comprehensive Report' deliverables.
 - KDOT Aviation review deliverables and provide feedback.
 - Incorporate feedback into submission of deliverables to KDOT Aviation and FAA.

- Project Complete.

Note: A full breakdown of anticipated project schedule available as [Appendix 2]

Deliverables Summary

As a general expectation, deliverables are to be reviewed by the consultant for quality control assurances regarding accuracy and completeness. Identified inaccuracies, information gaps, or unexplained delays in deliverables will be the responsibility of the consultant to rectify.

- Primary Deliverables:
 - MicroPAVER database and inspection files for each public-use NPIAS airport within Kansas.
 - Photos of distress and severity combination identified throughout site inspection.
 - Individual Facility – Report and Pavement Management Plan.
 - Statewide – Executive Summary.
 - Statewide – Comprehensive Report.

Anticipated Schedule and Key Dates

1. Proposals are due by or before 12:00 p.m. (Central Time) June 25, 2025.
2. Ranking of proposals is expected to occur on or around June 27, 2025. Negotiations with the most highly ranked firm are expected to begin on or around July 11, 2025. Negotiations will proceed quickly through scoping, manhour/fee negotiation, and NTP. In the event KDOT cannot reach agreement with the selected firm, it will terminate negotiations and commence negotiations with the next highest ranked firm, and so on, until either agreement is reached for a satisfactory scope of services for a fair and reasonable price, or KDOT decides to pursue other alternatives. An executed agreement is anticipated around July 25, 2025.
3. See Appendix 2 for detailed project schedule.

Instructions for Proposal

1. No cost or pricing information shall be submitted with the proposal. Proposals including cost or pricing information will be considered non-responsive and withdrawn from further consideration.
2. The consultant's proposal must not exceed the page limit laid out in Table 3 below (including any cover letter, index, etc.). Page limit includes the requested Consultant Qualification Form for removed category(s). All pages shall be standard letter size (8.5" x 11"). Any page larger than standard letter size will be counted as two or more pages depending on size.
3. A single PDF (10MB maximum size) of the proposal including all attachments must be uploaded to the appropriate bid form on Bid Express by the proposal due date and time.
4. The PDF file name must read:
 - a. "AV-0021-21- Non -NPIAS Airport Inspections FIRM NAME"
5. The proposal must be accompanied by Special Attachments No. 8 ("Tax Clearance Certificate"), No. 10 ("Policy Regarding Sexual Harassment"), and No. 12 ("Certification of Company Not Currently Engaged in the Procurement or Obtainment of Certain Equipment, Services, or Systems"). If you need a Tax Clearance Certificate, you can request one at <https://www.ksrevenue.gov/taxclearance.html>. Allow 2-3 business days for processing. Both attachments are required for every firm involved in a multi-consultant team. Upload these files as instructed in Bid Express.
6. The outline in Table 3 below describes the expected proposal organization and content sections.
7. Table 4 lists the evaluation criteria and associated weights which will be used to shortlist respondents and may be considered in final selection.
8. Depending on the number of responses received, KDOT may elect to shortlist (based on proposals) to no more than 3-5 firms and hold in-person interviews prior to final selection (which may be based on both proposal and interview content). If KDOT deems them necessary, interview evaluation criteria will be distributed to shortlisted consultant teams in advance.

Table 3: Proposal Content

Section	Description of Intent	Page Limit
Cover Letter		1 Page
Qualification Form for Removed Category(s)	Fill out the “Consultant Qualification Form” for the indicated removed category(s) and submit with your proposal. Description of category indicated on page 1 of Request for Proposals.	2 Pages per each Removed Category
Project Approach	Demonstrate a unique approach to accomplish the inspection efficiently and to a high standard. Include cost-effective and optimized solutions to address the anticipated improvements in the design. Include unique qualifications or experience related to the project approach.	1 page
Approach to Schedule	Describe the approach to accomplish the scope of services within the schedule requirements. Include anticipated key milestone dates and availability of staff.	
Approach to Quality Control	Describe methods or procedures your firm will use to provide all drawings, reports and other services with professional quality and technical accuracy.	
Qualifications and Experience	For key personnel to be assigned to the project provide names, office location, qualifications, education, training, and expertise. Identify their area(s) of responsibility and percent of their time dedicated to the project. List work for which you do not have in-house capability and name the firm you propose to subcontract with.	2 Page
Past Performance	Describe team’s past performance with respect to ability to meet project schedules; quality of work; and ability to control costs on similar transportation projects, especially those performed for KDOT. Include three references and contact information.	
Familiarity with KDOT and Project Area	Describe team’s familiarity with KDOT’s design process and standards Describe familiarity with the project area and any identified special site conditions.	

Table 4: Evaluation Factors

Evaluation Factor	Weight
Qualifications and experience of project manager and other key project team members proposed for services	25%
Project approach	10%
Approach and commitment to meet advertised schedule	20%
Past performance history for similar projects/services for KDOT	15%
Innovation/Creativity in solutions	15%
Availability to respond to the work	10%
Unique qualifications	5%

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

Contract Terms and Conditions

A standard KDOT agreement for engineering and technical services will be used for the professional services project. The following special attachments will need to be provided by the selected consultant and all subconsultants with the signed work order following negotiations and will become attachments to the contract:

- Special Attachment No. 8 (“Tax Clearance Certificate”)
- Special Attachment No. 10 (“Policy Regarding Sexual Harassment”)
- Special Con Special Attachment No. 12 (“Certification of Company Not Currently Engaged in the Procurement or Obtainment of Certain Equipment, Services, or Systems”)

Contract Conditions

These projects will be managed by KDOT Aviation PM.

Questions

All questions regarding this Request for Proposals shall be submitted via the Q&A section of bid form in Bid Express.

Questions can be submitted until June 12, 2025; answers will be provided to all prequalified consultants on June 19, 2025.

Appendix to this Request for Proposals

- Appendix 1: Lists locations of Phase 1 thru 3.
- Appendix 2: Lists a full breakdown of anticipated project schedule available.

Appendix 1 - Locations

Phase 1		Phase 2		Phase 3	
District 1 – Northeast Kansas	District 2 – North Central Kansas	District 4 – Southeast Kansas	District 5 – South Central Kansas	District 3 – Northwest Kansas	District 6 – Southwest Kansas
Atchison	Chase	Allen	Barber	Cheyenne	Clark
Brown	Clay	Anderson	Barton	Decatur	Finney
Doniphan	Cloud	Bourbon	Butler	Ellis	Ford
Douglas	Dickinson	Chautauqua	Comanche	Gove	Grant
Jackson	Ellsworth	Cherokee	Cowley	Graham	Gray
Jefferson	Geary	Coffey	Edwards	Logan	Greeley
Johnson	Jewell	Crawford	Harper	Norton	Hamilton
Leavenworth	Lincoln	Elk	Harvey	Osborne	Haskell
Lyon	Marion	Franklin	Kingman	Phillips	Hodgeman
Marshall	McPherson	Greenwood	Kiowa	Rawlins	Kearny
Nemaha	Mitchell	Labette	Pawnee	Rooks	Lane
Osage	Morris	Linn	Pratt	Russell	Meade
Pottawatomie	Ottawa	Miami	Reno	Sheridan	Morton
Riley	Republic	Montgomery	Rice	Sherman	Ness
Shawnee	Saline	Neosho	Rush	Smith	Scott
Wabaunsee	Washington	Wilson	Sedgwick	Thomas	Seward

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

Phase 1		Phase 2		Phase 3	
District 1 – Northeast Kansas	District 2 – North Central Kansas	District 4 – Southeast Kansas	District 5 – South Central Kansas	District 3 – Northwest Kansas	District 6 – Southwest Kansas
Wyandotte		Woodson	Stafford Sumner	Trego Wallace	Stanton Stevens Wichita

Appendix 2 - Schedule

Step	Time Elapsed	Primary Task
0	N/A	Notice to Proceed (NTP) provided by KDOT Aviation area.
1	2 weeks	Conduct kickoff meeting between project stakeholders (consultant and KDOT Aviation)
2	3-4 weeks	Remaining uncertainty regarding project scope or expected deliverables clarified.
3	1 month	Phase 1 (P1) Commences
3.1	8 months	P1 Survey and Data Collection Complete
3.2	9 months	P1 Analysis and Report submitted for review
3.3	10 months	P1 Review Period complete–feedback returned
3.4	11 months	P1 Final Reports submitted
3.5	11.5 months	P1 Complete
4	12 months	Phase 2 (P2) Commences
4.1	20 months	P2 Survey and Data Collection Complete
4.2	21 months	P2 Analysis and Report submitted for review
4.3	22 months	P2 Review Period complete–feedback returned
4.4	23 months	P2 Final Reports submitted
4.5	23.5 months	P2 Complete
5	24 Months	Phase 3 (P3) Commences
5.1	32 months	P3 Survey and Data Collection Complete
5.2	33 months	P3 Analysis and Report submitted for review
5.3	34 months	P3 Review Period complete–feedback returned
5.4	35 months	P3 Final Reports submitted
5.5	35.5 months	P3 Complete
6	38 months	Statewide project report submitted for review
7	39 months	Review period complete
8	40 months	Final Report—including submitted feedback–completed.

Calvin Reed
Secretary
Department of Transportation

State of Kansas

Department of Transportation

Request for Proposals

Multiple Projects: Construction Inspection Services; Released June 2025

Notice to Consulting Firms

The Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT) is seeking a qualified consulting firm, or team of firms, to perform construction inspection services on multiple projects in various counties. Summary information for each project is provided below in Table 1.

Interested consultants must upload a proposal by 12:00 p.m. (Central Time) June 25, 2025, to the Bid Express page to be considered for selection.

Consultant Prequalification

Interested consulting firms must be prequalified by KDOT or otherwise demonstrate qualification in the following category(s):

- 241 - Construction Inspection and Testing

If a firm is not currently prequalified by KDOT, a proposal may still be submitted. Firms not prequalified must also provide documentation that demonstrates the firm is qualified for each specified category listed in this notice for the project. Firms must use the KDOT prequalification form to provide this documentation. KDOT 1050 Prequalification Category Definitions (Blue Book) can be found at <https://www.ksdot.gov/doing-business/design-consultants/design-consultant-prequalification>. Consultants may create a team to meet the prequalification requirements. All firms doing business with KDOT must be registered and in good standing under the laws of the State of Kansas at the time of contracting and must comply with applicable state and federal laws, rules, and regulations.

Background and Scope of Projects

With this single solicitation, KDOT is requesting consulting services for construction inspections on the projects listed in Table 1. This table provides summary information for each project. One consultant will be selected to perform services associated with each group listed. Firms can express interest in the groups for which they would like to be considered by submitting a response as indicated below. There is no guarantee that a firm which has expressed interest will be selected for any project(s).

Table 1: Summary of Project Information

Group	RT-CO	Project #	Scope, County	Working Days or CCD	Office	Comments
25407	U160-063	KA-6980-01	Grading and Surfacing, Montgomery	October 23, 2026	Independence	KDOT may consider a combination of firms to meet the project needs
25406	-106	KA-7287-01	Signing, Multiple	90	Iola	Signing project for multiple counties. Letting in June 2025.

Additional project information including construction scope to be inspected, a detailed description of the project location, and resources and inspection scope specifically requested from consultants in this request can be viewed in an online table at <https://www.ksdot.gov/doing-business/design-consultants/design-solicitations->

[and-rfps/construction-inspection-rfps](#). Upon publication of this Request for Proposals, KDOT anticipates each of these inspection efforts will require full teams (project manager and inspectors, as opposed to single role staff augmentation as is occasionally request) for various types of construction inspection except as noted in the table. Watch the website linked above for updated information. Tabulated information (both in this Request for Proposals and on the website) shall not be relied upon during inspections. It is provided for the convenience of consultants, specifically to aid in making decisions about which projects they are interested in performing.

Specific project needs are subject to modification and/or cancellation at KDOT's discretion.

Anticipated Consultant Scope

The scope of construction inspection services and certifications required will vary for each project and are listed in the table available on the website noted above. Inspection efforts will be managed out of the offices listed. Also included for the convenience of consultants are indications of which grouped projects are currently slated to be performed by the same contractors.

Anticipated Schedule and Key Dates

1. Proposals are due by or before 12:00 p.m. (Central Time) June 25, 2025.
2. Ranking of proposals is expected to occur on or around June 27, 2025. Negotiations with the most highly ranked firm are expected to begin on or around July 3, 2025. An executed agreement is anticipated shortly thereafter.
3. Anticipated scope starts dates and working days or anticipated calendar completion dates are shown in the table. All dates are subject to change.

Instructions for Proposal

1. No cost or pricing information shall be submitted with the proposal. Proposals including cost or pricing information will be considered non-responsive and withdrawn from further consideration.
2. The consultant's proposal must not exceed the page limit laid out in Table 2 below (including any cover letter, index, etc.). All pages shall be standard letter size (8.5" x 11"). Any page larger than standard letter size will be counted as two or more pages depending on size.
3. A single PDF (10MB maximum size) of the proposal including all attachments must be uploaded to the appropriate bid form on Bid Express by the proposal due date and time.
4. The proposal PDF file name must read:
 - a. "ConstInsp Multiple Projects_2025.06 Release FIRM NAME"
5. The proposal must be accompanied by Special Attachments No. 8 ("Tax Clearance Certificate"); No. 10 ("Policy Regarding Sexual Harassment") and No. 12 ("Certification of Company Not Currently Engaged in the Procurement or Obtainment of Certain Equipment, Services, or Systems"). If you need a Tax Clearance Certificate, you can request one at <https://www.ksrevenue.gov/taxclearance.html>. Allow 2-3 business days for processing. All attachments are required for every firm involved in a multi-consultant team. Upload these files as instructed in Bid Express.
6. The outline in Table 2 below describes the expected proposal organization, content sections, and limits on number of pages.
 - a. Each team is limited to a single, one-page cover letter.
 - b. Consultants may indicate interest in any and all projects, indicate preference (s) for up to three projects, and shall both indicate interest and preferences on the "June 2024 Construction Inspections Interest & Preference Form" is provided on the Bid Express page to complete and upload. Also, consultants are to use the bottom of that form to indicate any capacity limitations that need to be taken into account when making selections.
 - c. Thereafter, each team is limited to two pages per project in which they express interest. In these pages, consultants shall:
 - i. Describe the approach they plan to execute to deliver success on the project.
 - ii. Present the relevant qualifications and experience of the people they are proposing will provide the

- services.
- iii. Provide the firm’s familiarity with KDOT and the project area.
 - d. Finally, consultants are limited to a single, on-page descriptions of general qualifications (“Past Performance” selection plus “Approach to Quality Control” section) regardless of the number of projects in which they are expressing interest.
7. Table 3 lists the evaluation criteria and associated weights which will be used to make a selection.
8. Although not anticipated at this time, KDOT reserves the right to interview for the requested services associated with any of the listed projects prior to making final selections.

Table 2: Proposal Content

Section	Description of Intent	Page Limit
Cover Letter		1 Page
Consultant Project Interest and Preference	Fill out “June 2025 Construction Inspections Interest & Preference Form” and submit with your proposal.	1 Page
Project Approach	Describe how your firm will meet the fluctuating inspection needs of the project.	2 Pages per project in which team is interested
Qualifications and Experience	For key personnel to be assigned to the project provide names, qualifications, education, training, and expertise. Identify their area(s) of responsibility and percent of their time dedicated to the project. List work for which you do not have in-house capability and name the firm you propose to subcontract with.	
Familiarity with KDOT and Project Area	Describe team’s familiarity with KDOT’s inspection processes and standards. Describe familiarity with the project area and any identified special site conditions.	
Past Performance	Describe team’s past performance with respect to ability to meet project schedules; quality of work; and ability to control costs on similar transportation projects, especially those performed for KDOT. Include three references and contact information.	1 Page total
Approach to Quality Control	Describe methods or procedures your firm will use to provide all services with professional quality and technical accuracy.	

Table 3: Evaluation Factors

Evaluation Factor	Weight
The quality and completeness of the response	10%
Availability to respond to the work	20%
Qualifications and experience of project manager and other key project team members proposed for services	20%
Past performance history for similar projects/services for KDOT	20%
Understanding of the project area	10%
Understanding of KDOT contract administration and closeout procedures	20%

Contract Terms and Conditions

A standard KDOT agreement for engineering and technical services will be used for professional services projects. The following special attachments will need to be provided by the selected consultant and all subconsultants with the signed work order following negotiations and will become attachments to the contract:

- Special Attachment No. 8 (“Tax Clearance Certificate”)
- Special Attachment No. 10 (“Policy Regarding Sexual Harassment”)
- Special Attachment No. 12 (“Certification of Company Not Currently Engaged in the Procurement or Obtainment of Certain Equipment, Services, or Systems”)

Special Contract Conditions

Batch Request for Proposals.

Questions

All questions regarding this Request for Proposals shall be submitted via Q&A section of bid form in Bid Express.

Questions can be submitted until June 11, 2025; answers will be provided to all prequalified consultants on June 18, 2025.

Calvin Reed
Secretary
Department of Transportation

Doc. No. 053217

(Published in the Kansas Register June 12, 2025.)

First Presbyterian Church of Topeka Request for Proposals

Introduction

First Presbyterian Church invites and welcomes proposals for its door replacement project. Please take the time to carefully read and become familiar with the proposal requirements. All proposals submitted for consideration must be received by the time specified under "Deadline to Submit Proposal."

Bidders should note that any and all work intended to be subcontracted as part of the bid submittal must be accompanied by background materials and references for proposed subcontractor(s).

Project and Location

The project associated with this Request for Proposals is located at 817 SW Harrison St., Topeka, KS 66612. Interested bidders are required to make arrangements to visit the site where work is to be completed.

Project Manager Contact Information

For questions or information regarding project management, contact:

Patrick Yancey
Associate Pastor
785-233-9601
pyancey@fpctopeka.org

The question submission deadline is July 1, 2025.

Project Objective

The objective and ultimate goal for this project is:

- Replacement of three sets of exterior doors on the east (2 on Harrison St.) and south (one on the parking lot) sides of the main building.

Project Scope and Specifications

The project scope and specification are:

- Removal of existing doors and door frames.
- Fabrication and installation of new doors of similar appearance. Door composition can be any material, with a wood-grained appearance, consistent with the existing historic doors.
- 5-year warranty.
- Bid price to include all labor, licensing, insurance, and subcontractor costs.

Scheduled Timeline

The following timeline has been established to ensure that our project objective is achieved; however, the following project timeline shall be subject to change when deemed necessary by management:

- Project Start: August 1, 2025
- Project Completion: April 30, 2026

Project Proposal Expectations

First Presbyterian Church shall award the contract to the proposal that best accommodates the various project requirements. First Presbyterian Church reserves the right to: (i) award any contract prior to the proposal deadline or prior to the receipt of all proposals, (ii) award the contract to more than one Bidder, and (iii) refuse

any proposal or contract.

Deadline to Submit Proposal

All proposals must be received by First Presbyterian Church no later than July 15, 2025, for consideration in the project proposal selection process.

Proposal Selection Criteria

Only those proposals received by the stated deadline will be considered. All proposals submitted by the deadline will be reviewed and evaluated based upon information provided in the submitted proposal. In addition, consideration will be given to cost and performance projections. Furthermore, the following criteria will be given considerable weight in the proposal selection process:

1. Proposals received by the stipulated deadline must be in the correct format.
2. Bidder's alleged performance effectiveness of their proposal's solution.
3. Bidder's performance history and alleged ability to timely deliver proposed services.
4. Bidder's ability to provide and deliver qualified personnel having the knowledge and skills required to effectively and efficiently execute proposed services.
5. Overall cost effectiveness of the proposal.

First Presbyterian Church reserves the right to cancel, suspend, and/or discontinue any proposal at any time, without obligation or notice to the proposing bidder.

Proposal Submission Format

The following is a list of information that the bidder should include in their proposal submission:

Summary of Bidder Background

1. Bidder's name(s).
2. Bidder's address.
3. Bidder's contact information (and preferred method of communication).
4. Legal formation of bidder (e.g. sole proprietor, partnership, corporation).
5. Date bidder's company was formed.
6. Description of bidder's company in terms of size, range and types of services offered and clientele.
7. Bidder's principal officers (e.g. President, Chairman, Vice President(s), Secretary, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer, General Managers) and length of time each officer has performed in his/her field of expertise.
8. Bidder's federal employee identification number (FEIN).
9. Evidence of legal authority to conduct business in Kansas (e.g. business license number).
10. Evidence of established track record for providing services and/or deliverables that are the subject of this proposal.

Proposed Outcome

- Summary of timeline and work to be completed. Work to be completed and invoiced prior to project completion date.

Equipment or Service

- List any accommodation, services, or space required from First Presbyterian Church, along with a brief explanation.

Cost Proposal Summary and Breakdown

- A detailed list of any and all expected costs or expenses related to the proposed project.
- Summary and explanation of any other contributing expenses to the total cost.
- Brief summary of the total cost of the proposal.

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

Licensing and Bonding

- Provide details of licenses and bonds (if any) for any proposed services that the bidder/contractor may plan on providing for this project.

Insurance

- Details of any liability or other insurance provided with regard to the staff or project.

References

- Provide 3 references

By submitted a proposal, the bidder agrees that First Presbyterian Church may contact all submitted references to obtain any and all information regarding the bidder's performance.

Patrick Yancey
Associate Pastor
First Presbyterian Church of Topeka

Doc. No. 053238

(Published in the Kansas Register June 12, 2025.)

First Presbyterian Church of Topeka Request for Proposals

Introduction

First Presbyterian Church invites and welcomes proposals for their Video Surveillance System project. Please take the time to carefully read and become familiar with the proposal requirements. All proposals submitted for consideration must be received by the time specified under "Deadline to Submit Proposal."

Bidders should note that any and all work intended to be subcontracted as part of the bid submittal must be accompanied by background materials and references for proposed subcontractor(s).

Project and Location

The project associated with this Request for Proposals is located at 817 SW Harrison St., Topeka, KS 66612. Interested bidders may make arrangements to visit the site where project work is to be completed.

Project Manager Contact Information

For questions or information regarding project management, contact:

Patrick Yancey
Associate Pastor
785-233-9601
pyancey@fpctopeka.org

The question submission deadline is July 1, 2025.

Project Objective

The objective and ultimate goal for this project is:

1. Installation of outdoor cameras sufficient to provide continuous monitoring of the building surroundings.
2. Replace and install intercom camera, with access control system.

Project Scope and Specifications

The project scope and specification are:

- Sufficient number of cameras to provide continuous coverage and monitoring of the entire exterior campus. This will include installation and all necessary electrical work.
- Server to support the above system, including storage for video retention.
- If rack mounted, existing church data rack may be utilized. Otherwise, please provide additional rack space.
- Video retention for a minimum of 10 days.
- Capability for remote monitoring and real-time notification.
- Existing network switches can be utilized, if sufficient, otherwise please include cost of new switch in the proposal.
- One video unit with access control system, to provide for door entry at the south entrance, including all needed badges, fobs, etc. System must be configurable to our needs, so that a staff administrator can establish and change multiple and varied times and permissions for entry.
- System user training.
- Printed or printable user and administrator documentation.
- 5-year warranty
- Bid price to include all labor, licensing, insurance, and subcontractor costs.
- Please provide a separate bid for an additional video unit with access control system, to provide for door

entry at the north entrance, including all needed badges, fobs, etc. System must be configurable to our needs, so that a staff administrator can establish and change multiple and varied times and permissions for entry.

Scheduled Timeline

The following timeline has been established to ensure that our project objective is achieved; however, the following project timeline shall be subject to change when deemed necessary by management:

- Project Start: August 1, 2025
- Project Completion: April 30, 2026

Project Proposal Expectations

First Presbyterian Church shall award the contract to the proposal that best accommodates the various project requirements. First Presbyterian Church reserves the right to: (i) award any contract prior to the proposal deadline or prior to the receipt of all proposals, (ii) award the contract to more than one Bidder, and (iii) refuse any proposal or contract.

Deadline to Submit Proposal

All proposals must be received by First Presbyterian Church no later than July 15, 2025, for consideration in the project proposal selection process.

Proposal Selection Criteria

Only those proposals received by the stated deadline will be considered. All proposals submitted by the deadline will be reviewed and evaluated based upon information provided in the submitted proposal. In addition, consideration will be given to cost and performance projections. Furthermore, the following criteria will be given considerable weight in the proposal selection process:

1. Proposals received by the stipulated deadline must be in the correct format.
2. Bidder's alleged performance effectiveness of their proposal's solution.
3. Bidder's performance history and alleged ability to timely deliver proposed services.
4. Bidder's ability to provide and deliver qualified personnel having the knowledge and skills required to effectively and efficiently execute proposed services.
5. Overall cost effectiveness of the proposal.

First Presbyterian Church reserves the right to cancel, suspend, and/or discontinue any proposal at any time, without obligation or notice to the proposing bidder.

Proposal Submission Format

The following is a list of information that the Bidder should include in their proposal submission:

Summary of Bidder Background

1. Bidder's name(s).
2. Bidder's address.
3. Bidder's contact information (and preferred method of communication).
4. Legal formation of bidder (e.g. sole proprietor, partnership, corporation).
5. Date bidder's company was formed.
6. Description of bidder's company in terms of size, range and types of services offered and clientele.
7. Bidder's principal officers (e.g. President, Chairman, Vice President(s), Secretary, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer, General Managers) and length of time each officer has performed in his/her field of expertise.
8. Bidder's federal employee identification number (FEIN)
9. Evidence of legal authority to conduct business in Kansas (e.g. business license number).
10. Evidence of established track record for providing services and/or deliverables that are the subject of this proposal.

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

Proposed Outcome

- Summary of timeline and work to be completed. Work to be completed and invoiced prior to project completion date.

Equipment or Service

- List any accommodation, services, or space required from First Presbyterian Church, along with a brief explanation.

Cost Proposal Summary and Breakdown

- A detailed list of any and all expected costs or expenses related to the proposed project.
- Summary and explanation of any other contributing expenses to the total cost.
- Brief summary of the total cost of the proposal.

Licensing and Bonding

- Provide details of licenses and bonds (if any) for any proposed services that the bidder/contractor may plan on providing for this project.

Insurance

- Details of any liability or other insurance provided with regard to the staff or project.

References

- Provide 3 references

By submitted a proposal, the bidder agrees that First Presbyterian Church may contact all submitted references to obtain any and all information regarding the bidder's performance.

Patrick Yancey
Associate Pastor
First Presbyterian Church of Topeka

Doc. No. 053239

State of Kansas

Office of the Governor

State of Disaster Emergency Proclamation

By virtue of the authority vested in me by the Kansas Emergency Management Act, Chapter 48, Article 9, of the Kansas Statutes Annotated, to meet the inherent dangers of disasters to which the State and its citizens have been exposed, and upon advice of the State Adjutant General as the Director of the Division of Emergency Management, I hereby proclaim a State of Disaster Emergency as follows:

NATURE OF THE DISASTER

Severe thunderstorms, straight-line winds, tornadoes, and flooding occurred in portions of the state during the period of May 18-19, 2025. State agencies provided support and assisted impacted jurisdictions under their own statutory authority. Recovery efforts have exceeded the capability of local and state resources and additional recovery resources will be needed to rebuild critical infrastructure impacted by the severe storms.

DATE THAT DISASTER AFFECTED THE AREA

May 18-19, 2025

AREA AFFECTED BY THE DISASTER

Bourbon
Cheyenne
Edwards
Gove
Kiowa
Logan
Pratt
Reno
Scott
Sheridan
Stafford

I hereby proclaim, direct and order the Adjutant General of the State of Kansas to activate the disaster response and recovery portions of the Kansas Response Plan and to utilize all available resources of the state to cope with the disaster as necessary. The Adjutant General shall coordinate local and inter-jurisdictional disaster plans applicable to the political subdivisions of areas affected by this Proclamation.

Any or all of the powers conferred upon the Governor by the Kansas Emergency Management Act may be delegated to the Adjutant General as deemed appropriate during this period of proclaimed State of Disaster Emergency. This may be delegated by written orders, or oral orders subsequently reduced to writing with reference to this Proclamation.

I hereby suspend the provisions of any regulatory statute prescribing the procedures for conduct of state business, or the order or rules and regulations of any state agency which implements such statute, if strict compliance with the provisions of such statutes, order or rule and regulation would prevent, hinder, or delay in any way necessary action in coping with the disaster as set forth in KSA 48-925(c)(1).

This Proclamation shall be filed promptly with the Division of Emergency Management, the Office of the Secretary of State and each city clerk or county clerk, as appropriate, in the area to which this Proclamation applies. Further dissemination of this Proclamation shall occur by means calculated to bring its contents to the attention of the general public.

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

PROCLAIMED on the 4th day of June 2025, under the Great Seal of the State

Laura Kelly
Governor

Doc. No. 053234

State of Kansas

Legislative Administrative Services

Interim Committee Schedule

The Legislative Research Department gives notice that the following legislative committees plan to meet on the dates listed below based on current information and subject to change. Requests for accommodation to participate in committee meetings should be made at least two working days in advance of the meeting by contacting Legislative Administrative Services at 785-296-2391 or TTY 711, or email legserv@las.ks.gov.

June 9 through June 27, 2025

Date	Room	Time	Committee	Agenda
June 6	Virtual	10:30 a.m.	State Finance Council	No Agenda Available
June 10	582-N	9:00 a.m.	Joint Committee on Administrative Rules and Regulations	https://kslegislature.gov/li/b2025_26/committees/ctte_jt_rules_regs_1/documents/agenda/weeklyinterim/20250610.pdf
June 10	144-S	9:00 a.m.	Special Committee on Government Efficiency	https://kslegislature.gov/li/b2025_26/committees/ctte_s_government_efficiency_1/documents/agenda/weeklyinterim/20250610.pdf
June 12	582-N	9:00 a.m.	Joint Committee on Information Technology	https://kslegislature.gov/li/b2025_26/committees/ctte_jt_it_1/documents/agenda/weeklyinterim/20250612.pdf
June 17	112-N	2:00 p.m.	Build Kansas Advisory	No Agenda Available
July 1	112-N	12:00 p.m.	Education Task Force	No Agenda Available
July 2	112-N	9:00 a.m.	Education Task Force	No Agenda Available

Tom Day
Director
Legislative Administrative Services

State of Kansas

Kansas Development Finance Authority

Notice of Hearing

A public hearing will be held at 9:00 a.m. June 27 2025, in the offices of Kansas Development Finance Authority, 534 S. Kansas Ave., Suite 800, Topeka, KS, on the proposal for the Kansas Development Finance Authority to issue its Agricultural Development Revenue Bonds for the Project(s) numbered below, in the respective maximum principal amounts. The Bond(s) will be issued to assist the respective Borrower (the "Beginning Farmer") named below (who will be the owner and operator of the respective Project) to finance the cost in the amount of the Bond, which is then typically purchased by a lender bank ("Lender") who then through Kansas Development Finance Authority, loans the bond proceeds to the Borrower for the purposes of acquiring the respective Project(s) as described below. Each Project shall be located as shown:

Project No. 001171 Maximum Principal Amount: \$360,250. Owner/Operator: Ryan and Jennifer Bergstrom; Description: Acquisition of 434.54 acres of agricultural land and related improvements and equipment to be used by the owner/operator for farming purposes (the "Project"). The Project is being financed by the Lender for Ryan and Jennifer Bergstrom (the "Beginning Farmer") and is located in the Southeast Quarter of Section 24, Township 1, Range 32, the South Half of Section 19, Township 1, Range 31, and the Northwest Quarter of Section 19, Township 1, Range 31 all in Rawlins County, Kansas, approximately 3 miles west and 2 miles north of Herndon, Kansas near County Road 314.

Each Bond when issued, will be a limited obligation of the Kansas Development Finance Authority and will not constitute a general obligation or indebtedness of the State of Kansas or any political subdivision thereof, including the Authority, nor will it be an indebtedness for which the faith and credit and taxing powers of the State of Kansas are pledged, but each Bond will be payable solely and only from amounts received from the respective Borrower, the obligation of which will be sufficient to pay the principal of, interest and redemption premium, if any, on each Bond as and when it shall become due.

Interested individuals may participate in the public hearing in person or via conference call. Please call 844-621-3956 and use conference identification number 145 880 8929 followed by # to join the conference.

At the time and place fixed for the hearings, all individuals who appear will be given an opportunity to express their views for or against the proposal to issue any specific Bond for the purpose of financing the respective Project, and all written comments previously filed with the Authority at its offices at Suite 800, 534 S. Kansas Ave., Topeka, KS 66603, will be considered. Additional information regarding any of the projects described above may be obtained by contacting the Authority at the address of its offices shown above.

Rebecca Floyd
President
Kansas Development Finance Authority

Doc. No. 053233

State of Kansas

Wildlife and Parks Commission

Notice of Hearing on Proposed Administrative Regulations

A public hearing will be conducted by the Kansas Wildlife and Parks Commission (the Commission) at 12:00 p.m. Thursday, August 14, 2025, at the Finnup Center, Lee Richardson Zoo, 312 E. Finnup Dr., Garden City, KS 67846, to consider the approval and adoption of proposed administrative regulations of the Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks. Other Commission business will follow, including public comment opportunities and general discussions, which may include educational presentations and workshop sessions. The meeting will continue until all business is complete. The public hearing will be conducted in person and via video conferencing system.

This 60-day notice period prior to the hearing meets or exceeds the required public comment period for the purpose of receiving written public comments on the proposed administrative regulations. All interested parties may submit written comments prior to the hearing to the Chair of the Commission, Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks, 1020 S. Kansas Ave., Suite 200, Topeka, KS 66612, or to megan.deters@ks.gov, if submitted electronically. All interested parties will be given a reasonable opportunity at the hearing to express their views orally regarding the adoption of the proposed regulation. During the hearing, all written and oral comments submitted by interested parties will be considered by the Commission as a basis for approving, amending and approving, or rejecting the proposed regulation.

Copies of the complete text of the regulations and economic impact statements may be obtained by writing the Chair of the Commission at the address above, accessing the Department's website at <http://www.ksoutdoors.com>, or by calling 785-2966373.

Any individual with a disability may request an accommodation to participate in the public hearing and may request the proposed regulations and economic impact statements in an accessible format. Requests for accommodation to participate in the hearing should be made at least ten (10) business days in advance of the hearing by contacting Megan Deters at 785-296-6373. Persons with a hearing impairment may call the Kansas Commission for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing at 800-432-0698 to request special accommodations.

Summaries of the proposed regulations and their economic impacts follow. (Note: Statements indicating that "The proposed amendment/new regulation will have minor to no economic impact" are intended to indicate that no economic impact on the Commission, other state agencies, persons subject to the proposed regulations, or the general public have been identified.)

K.A.R. 115-4-4

The change to this regulation broadens the types of projectiles that may be used in muzzleloader firearms so that modern, non-lead projectiles can be used if hunters choose to do so. Hunters may continue to use lead projectiles if they wish.

Economic Impact

The regulation will have a positive economic impact because it will permit hunters to use a wider variety of projectiles. The proposed amended regulation will have minor to no economic impact, though it may have a slightly positive economic impact through the increase of sales of non-lead projectiles by businesses.

K.A.R. 115-2-1

The changes to this regulation will increase the cost of a trout permit from \$12.00 to \$17.50. The rule change will also add three new discounts for seniors aged 65 to 74 for 5-year fishing, hunting, and combination fishing and hunting licenses. The rule will also eliminate fees for mussel harvesting.

Economic Impact

The economic impact of the trout fee will be \$5.50 per licensee. KDWP sells approximately 10,000 trout permits per year. Assuming the same number of anglers continue to purchase a trout permit, the total economic impact would be \$55,000 annually on all trout anglers. The addition of senior licenses and elimination of mussel harvesting licenses will have minor to no economic impact.

K.A.R. 115-25-9

The changes to this regulation will increase the number of deer permits available in southeast Kansas by between 500 and 1,000 additional permits and decrease the number of deer permits available in northwest Kansas by 750 permits. Changes are being made in response to recent data showing an increase in deer populations in southeast Kansas and a decrease in deer populations in northwest Kansas.

Economic Impact

The Department could see a change in license sales revenue, though the change will have a minor direct economic impact on the Department. In deer management units with increasing availability of permits, KDWP expects to see an increase in sales between \$21,550 and \$43,100. In units with decreasing permit availability, the Department expects a decrease in revenue of \$32,325. The net change to KDWP revenues is likely to be between a decrease of \$10,775 and an increase of \$10,775, depending on voluntary actions by hunters.

K.A.R. 115-25-9a

This regulation sets the dates for deer hunting seasons on military subunits, which differ from the statewide seasons.

Economic Impact

The regulation will have minor to no economic impact. Businesses that engage in sales to military personnel who participate in the deer season may be minimally impacted.

K.A.R. 115-25-12

The change to this regulation and K.A.R. 115-5-1 will expand the night vision coyote hunting season by approximately three months. The current season begins on January 1 and ends on March 31. The new season will begin on September 1 and end on March 31 of the following year, excluding antlered deer firearm seasons. The regulation includes a sunset provision that will take effect on March 31, 2028, if not later removed.

Economic Impact

The proposed amended regulation will have minor to no economic impact, though it could enhance business activities and growth through increased sales of night vision hunting equipment.

K.A.R. 115-5-1

The change to this regulation and K.A.R. 115-25-12 will expand the night vision coyote hunting season by approximately three months. The current season begins on January 1 and ends on March 31. The new season will begin on September 1 and end on March 31 of the following year, excluding antlered deer firearm seasons.

Economic Impact

The proposed amended regulation will have minor to no economic impact, though it could enhance business activities and growth through increased sales of night vision hunting equipment.

Whitney Damron
Chair
Wildlife and Parks Commission

State of Kansas

Department of Health and Environment

Permanent Administrative Regulation

Article 29.—SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

28-29-2008. Coal combustion residual (CCR) facility permit renewal fees. For each permitted CCR unit, the owner or operator shall pay to the department an annual permit renewal fee in accordance with this regulation.

(a) Definitions. For the purposes of this regulation, the following definitions shall apply:

(1) "Annual permit renewal fee" means the amount due for each permitted CCR unit.

(2) "Cost to implement the CCR program" means the estimated annual cost to the department, including salaries, benefits, and operational expenses, to perform the following tasks:

(A) Promulgate and update CCR regulations;

(B) prepare and update United States environmental protection agency CCR program approval applications; and

(C) implement and enforce CCR regulations.

(3) "Permitted CCR unit" means a solid waste disposal area or a solid waste processing facility that has been issued a permit by the secretary pursuant to K.S.A. 65-3407, and amendments thereto, and is subject to 40 C.F.R. 257 subpart D, as in effect on July 1, 2017, or any later version adopted by reference by the secretary.

(4) "Number of units subject to the fee" means the total number of permitted CCR units on May 1 of each year.

(b) Subject to subsection (c), the annual permit renewal fee shall be calculated by the department each year using the following formula:

$$\text{annual permit renewal fee} = \frac{\text{cost to implement the CCR program}}{\text{number of units subject to the fee}}$$

(c) The annual permit renewal fee shall not be less than \$500 and not more than the maximum limit specified for permitted CCR units in K.S.A. 65-3407, and amendments thereto.

(d) Each person that pays the annual permit renewal fee by check or money order shall make the check or money order payable to the "solid waste management fund – Kansas department of health and environment."

(e) Any owner or operator required to pay an annual permit renewal fee specified in this regulation for a permitted CCR unit shall not be required to pay the annual permit renewal fee specified in K.A.R. 28-29-84 for the facility at which the permitted CCR unit is located. Each owner and operator shall remain subject to the provisions of K.A.R. 28-29-84(a) and (b). (Authorized by and implementing K.S.A. 2024 Supp. 65-3407; effective June 27, 2025.)

Janet Stanek
Secretary

Department of Health and Environment

Index to Administrative Regulations

This index lists in numerical order the new, amended, and revoked administrative regulations with a future effective date and the Kansas Register issue in which the regulation can be found. A complete listing and the complete text of all currently effective regulations required to be published in the Kansas Administrative Regulations can be found at http://www.sos.ks.gov/publications/pubs_kar.aspx.

AGENCY 111: KANSAS LOTTERY

A complete index listing all regulations filed by the Kansas Lottery from 1988 through 2000 can be found in the Vol. 19, No. 52, December 28, 2000 Kansas Register. A list of regulations filed from 2001 through 2003 can be found in the Vol. 22, No. 52, December 25, 2003 Kansas Register. A list of regulations filed from 2004 through 2005 can be found in the Vol. 24, No. 52, December 29, 2005 Kansas Register. A list of regulations filed from 2006 through 2007 can be found in the Vol. 26, No. 52, December 27, 2007 Kansas Register. A list of regulations filed from 2008 through November 2009 can be found in the Vol. 28, No. 53, December 31, 2009 Kansas Register. A list of regulations filed from December 1, 2009, through December 21, 2011, can be found in the Vol. 30, No. 52, December 29, 2011 Kansas Register. A list of regulations filed from December 22, 2011, through November 6, 2013, can be found in the Vol. 32, No. 52, December 26, 2013 Kansas Register. A list of regulations filed from November 7, 2013, through December 31, 2015, can be found in the Vol. 34, No. 53, December 31, 2015 Kansas Register. A list of regulations filed from 2016 through 2017, can be found in the Vol. 36, No. 52, December 28, 2017 Kansas Register. A list of regulations filed from 2018 through 2019, can be found in the Vol. 38, No. 52, December 26, 2019 Kansas Register. A list of regulations filed from 2020 through 2021, can be found in the Vol. 40, No. 52, December 30, 2021 Kansas Register. A list of regulations filed from 2022 through 2023, can be found in the Vol. 42, No. 52, December 28, 2023 Kansas Register.

Reg. No.	Action	Register
111-2-331	New	Vol. 43, Issue 46
111-4-3547	Amended	Vol. 43, Issue 31
111-4-3771	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-4-3772	New	Vol. 43, Issue 11
111-4-3773	New	Vol. 43, Issue 12
111-4-3774	New	Vol. 43, Issue 14
111-4-3775	New	Vol. 43, Issue 29
111-4-3776	New	Vol. 43, Issue 29
111-4-3777	New	Vol. 43, Issue 29
111-4-3778	New	Vol. 43, Issue 29
111-4-3779	New	Vol. 43, Issue 29
111-4-3780	New	Vol. 43, Issue 29
111-4-3781	New	Vol. 43, Issue 29
111-4-3782	New	Vol. 43, Issue 29
111-4-3783	New	Vol. 43, Issue 29
111-4-3784	New	Vol. 43, Issue 30
111-4-3785	New	Vol. 43, Issue 30
111-4-3786	New	Vol. 43, Issue 30
111-4-3787	New	Vol. 43, Issue 30
111-4-3788	New	Vol. 43, Issue 30
111-4-3789	New	Vol. 43, Issue 30
111-4-3790	New	Vol. 43, Issue 31
111-4-3791	New	Vol. 43, Issue 31
111-4-3792	New	Vol. 43, Issue 31

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

111-4-3793	New	Vol. 43, Issue 31
111-4-3794	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-4-3795	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-4-3796	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-4-3797	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-4-3798	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-4-3799	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-4-3800	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-4-3801	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-4-3802	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-4-3803	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-4-3804	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-4-3805	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-4-3806	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-4-3807	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-4-3808	New	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-4-3809	New	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-4-3810	New	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-4-3811	New	Vol. 43, Issue 46
111-4-3812	New	Vol. 43, Issue 46
111-4-3813	New	Vol. 43, Issue 46
111-4-3814	New	Vol. 43, Issue 46
111-4-3815	New	Vol. 43, Issue 46
111-4-3816	New	Vol. 43, Issue 46
111-4-3817	New	Vol. 43, Issue 46
111-4-3818	New	Vol. 43, Issue 46
111-4-3819	New	Vol. 44, Issue 3
111-4-3820	New	Vol. 44, Issue 3
111-4-3821	New	Vol. 44, Issue 7
111-4-3822	New	Vol. 44, Issue 12
111-4-3823	New	Vol. 44, Issue 12
111-4-3824	New	Vol. 44, Issue 12
111-4-3825	New	Vol. 44, Issue 19
111-4-3826	New	Vol. 44, Issue 19
111-4-3827	New	Vol. 44, Issue 19
111-4-3828	New	Vol. 44, Issue 19
111-4-3829	New	Vol. 44, Issue 19
111-4-3830	New	Vol. 44, Issue 19
111-4-3831	New	Vol. 44, Issue 22
111-5-23	Amended	Vol. 43, Issue 46
111-5-24	Amended	Vol. 43, Issue 46
111-5-28	Amended	Vol. 43, Issue 46
111-5-30	Amended	Vol. 43, Issue 46
111-5-181	Amended	Vol. 44, Issue 3
111-5-182	Amended	Vol. 44, Issue 3
111-5-184	Amended	Vol. 44, Issue 3
111-5-185	Revoked	Vol. 44, Issue 3
111-5-250	New	Vol. 44, Issue 19
111-9-233	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-9-234	New	Vol. 43, Issue 14

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

111-9-235	New	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-9-236	New	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-9-237	New	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-15-1	Amended	Vol. 43, Issue 31
111-15-3	Amended	Vol. 43, Issue 31
111-18-5	Amended	Vol. 43, Issue 12
111-19-169	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-19-170	New	Vol. 43, Issue 12
111-19-171	New	Vol. 43, Issue 12
111-19-172	New	Vol. 43, Issue 12
111-19-173	New	Vol. 43, Issue 12
111-19-174	New	Vol. 43, Issue 14
111-19-175	New	Vol. 43, Issue 14
111-19-176	New	Vol. 43, Issue 14
111-19-177	New	Vol. 43, Issue 29
111-19-178	New	Vol. 43, Issue 29
111-19-179	New	Vol. 43, Issue 29
111-19-180	New	Vol. 43, Issue 29
111-19-181	New	Vol. 43, Issue 30
111-19-182	New	Vol. 43, Issue 30
111-19-183	New	Vol. 43, Issue 31
111-19-184	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-19-185	New	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-19-186	New	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-19-187	New	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-19-188	New	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-19-189	Amended	Vol. 43, Issue 47
111-19-190	New	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-19-191	New	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-19-192	New	Vol. 43, Issue 46
111-19-193	New	Vol. 43, Issue 47
111-19-194	New	Vol. 43, Issue 47
111-19-195	New	Vol. 43, Issue 47
111-19-196	New	Vol. 44, Issue 3
111-19-197	New	Vol. 44, Issue 3
111-19-198	New	Vol. 44, Issue 3
111-19-199	New	Vol. 44, Issue 3
111-19-200	New	Vol. 44, Issue 7
111-19-201	New	Vol. 44, Issue 7
111-19-202	New	Vol. 44, Issue 12
111-19-203	New	Vol. 44, Issue 12
111-19-204	New	Vol. 44, Issue 12
111-19-205	New	Vol. 44, Issue 19
111-19-206	New	Vol. 44, Issue 19
111-19-207	New	Vol. 44, Issue 22
111-19-208	New	Vol. 44, Issue 22
111-20-1	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-20-2	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-20-3	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-20-4	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

111-20-5	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-20-6	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-20-7	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-20-8	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-20-9	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-20-10	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-20-11	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-20-12	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-20-13	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-20-14	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-20-15	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-20-16	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-20-17	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-20-18	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-20-19	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-20-20	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-20-21	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-20-22	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-20-23	New	Vol. 43, Issue 5
111-21-1	New	Vol. 43, Issue 11
111-21-2	New	Vol. 43, Issue 11
111-21-3	New	Vol. 43, Issue 11
111-21-4	New	Vol. 43, Issue 11
111-21-5	New	Vol. 43, Issue 11
111-21-6	New	Vol. 43, Issue 11
111-21-7	New	Vol. 43, Issue 11
111-21-8	New	Vol. 43, Issue 11
111-21-9	New	Vol. 43, Issue 11
111-21-10	New	Vol. 43, Issue 11
111-21-11	New	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-21-12	New	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-21-13	New	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-21-14	New	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-21-15	New	Vol. 44, Issue 22
111-21-16	New	Vol. 44, Issue 22
111-22-1	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-22-2	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-22-3	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-22-4	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-22-5	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-22-6	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-22-7	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-22-8	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-22-9	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-22-10	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-22-11	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-22-12	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-22-13	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-23-1	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-23-2	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

111-23-3	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-23-4	New	Vol. 43, Issue 36
111-23-5	New	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-23-6	New	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-23-7	New	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-23-8	New	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-23-9	New	Vol. 44, Issue 4
111-23-10	New	Vol. 44, Issue 4
111-23-11	New	Vol. 44, Issue 7
111-23-12	New	Vol. 44, Issue 7
111-23-13	New	Vol. 44, Issue 7
111-23-14	New	Vol. 44, Issue 12
111-23-15	New	Vol. 44, Issue 12
111-23-16	New	Vol. 44, Issue 19
111-23-17	New	Vol. 44, Issue 19
111-23-18	New	Vol. 44, Issue 22
111-23-19	New	Vol. 44, Issue 22
111-301-4	Amended	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-301-5	Amended	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-301-41	Amended	Vol. 44, Issue 4
111-301-43	Amended	Vol. 44, Issue 4
111-313-1	New	Vol. 43, Issue 47
111-313-2	New	Vol. 43, Issue 47
111-313-3	New	Vol. 43, Issue 47
111-313-4	New	Vol. 43, Issue 47
111-313-5	New	Vol. 43, Issue 47
111-313-6	New	Vol. 43, Issue 47
111-501-5	Amended	Vol. 44, Issue 22
111-501-6	Amended	Vol. 44, Issue 22
111-501-9	Amended	Vol. 44, Issue 22
111-501-68	Amended	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-401-35	Amended	Vol. 44, Issue 4
111-501-44	Amended	Vol. 44, Issue 4
111-501-113	Amended	Vol. 43, Issue 11
111-501-120	Amended	Vol. 43, Issue 45
111-501-147	Amended	Vol. 44, Issue 12
111-501-155	Amended	Vol. 43, Issue 11
111-501-161	New	Vol. 43, Issue 30

AGENCY 112: RACING AND GAMING COMMISSION

Reg. No.	Action	Register
112-201-1	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-201-2	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-201-3	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-201-4	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-201-5	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-201-6	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-201-7	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-201-8	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44

Kansas Register

Volume 44 - Issue 24 - June 12, 2025

[Table of Contents](#)

112-201-9	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-201-10	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-201-11	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-201-12	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-201-13	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-201-14	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-201-15	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-201-16	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-201-17	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-201-18	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-201-19	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-201-20	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-201-21	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-201-22	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-201-23	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-202-1	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-202-2	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-203-1	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-203-2	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-203-3	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-203-4	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-203-5	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-203-6	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-203-7	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-203-8	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-204-1	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-204-2	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-204-3	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-204-4	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-204-5	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-204-6	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44
112-204-7	New (T)	Vol. 42, Issue 44

AGENCY 125: AGRICULTURAL REMEDIATION BOARD

Reg. No.	Action	Register
125-1-7	Amended	Vol. 44, Issue 22

Kansas Register

The Kansas Register is an official publication of the state of Kansas, published by authority of K.S.A. 75-430. The Kansas Register is published weekly and a cumulative index is published annually by the Kansas Secretary of State.

Reproduction of the publication in its entirety or for commercial purposes is prohibited without prior permission. Official enactments of the Kansas Legislature and proposed and adopted administrative regulations of state agencies may be reproduced in any form without permission.

Current and back issues of the Kansas Register (HTML and PDF Format) can be found at <https://www.sos.ks.gov/publications/kansas-register.html>.

Register Office:

1st Floor, Memorial Hall
785-368-8095
kansasregister@ks.gov

Published by

Scott Schwab
Secretary of State
1st Floor, Memorial Hall
120 SW 10th Ave.
Topeka, KS 66612-1594
785-296-4564
<https://www.sos.ks.gov>